LIBRARY OF THE ORGANIZATION
"PATRIOT OF UKRAINE"

UKRAINIAN SOCIAL NATIONALISM

A collection of ideological works and program documents

Kharkiv 2007

Translated into english by Sauce1488 in 2023

Table of contents:

Preface of the translator **1-6**

Andriy Biletskyi
Ukrainian racial Social Nationalism – ideology of the "Patriot of Ukraine" Organization **6-7**

Svyatoslav Vyshinskyi
The perspective of Central Europe **7-9**

Mykhailo Golovko

Economic nationalism as an effective method of building a national economy **9-16**

Andrii Illenko

The end of retronationalism and the prospects of New Nationalism **16-24**

Vitaly Kryvosheev

Political democracy. The view of Social Nationalism **25-26**

Oleg Odnorozhenko

The Social-Nationalist movement and its main tasks **26-30**

Project of the Program of the "Patriot of Ukraine" Organization **30-37**

Praise be to God for creating the white race.

I thought this book could be interesting for the pro-white activists, so I decided to translate it and also because the personalities of Biletsky (the most preeminent member of the founding circle of azov) and his crew are very interesting. Before going on forgive me for my English as it is not my first language.

I didn't put notes at the end of the pages in order to add commentary because it would have been too long to fit in there, but I will explain ambiguities and some vocabulary issues and authors' misconceptions you may encounter in this preface.

Again, praises be to the almighty God for preventing the Aryans in Ukraine from losing against the 2nd best army in the world in a direct open warfare. I address you a prayer my Lord that you will accept for a better world: bring upon this earth a total zigger death and the fall of the Lubbavitch led Russia and pave the way for a real holocaust and the total destruction of the jewish race around the globe, something great such as israeli kikes and iraniggers nuking each other.

This translation is dedicated to the vanguard of the white race fighting in Ukraine against the antifa and neo-bolshevik subhuman mongrels coming from the steppes of the east. This racial holy war will inevitably trigger a spill over (whether with open or terrorist warfare in the white world besiedged by the races of evil with the jews at their top). Those volunteers are on the footstep of Degrelle and other white nationalists and national socialists during the ww2 against lend leased soviet russia. I use this tribune to curse the DGSI and the DGSE (pro Russian antifas who denied the invasion of Ukraine, despite proofs provided by the USA before it happened). I hate them because they locked our guys up when they came back from the front and treated them like ISIS members whereas letting antifa volunteers, propagandists and people who were consultants in weaponry like Xavier Moreau go back and forth from Donbass and even from Russia to France through Turkey (in his "bulletin 128" he said he inspected kornet missile facilities).

Antifa France also provided Disbanded ATGMs such as the Milan which disperse noxious radioactive elements such as Thorium fumes which could be cancerous after series of several shots for the operators of such a system (MLPS were refused to the Ukrainians by Macron in early 2023).I could have talked about the AMX-10 RC with its memetic outdated HEATFS rounds in a non-nato standards but you already know that France is in the love with the negro and the jew and just pretend to help the Ukrainian with few caesars but nothing serious compared to polish, Slovakian or baltic support in term of contribution regarding their respective GDPs (2% for Estonia as far as I remember despite having one of the highest rates of inflation in the EU) or in numbers of tanks (polish bros based as always), airplanes and cannons.

Thank God for putting the seed of racial struggle in the heart of every healthy wise white man. We must thank God and the Ukrainians for their miraculous defense against the blows of Vladimir Shalomova or should I say Putin.

Concerning vocabulary when a concept or word is associated with orange it is linked to the orange revolution. Before reading the book you should have done a proper research about Ukraine recent history in order to get what the authors are talking about. I'm not going to do a complete recap of the Budapest memorandum (when Americans stole the nukes [even if some believe they don't exist] and give them back to Russia, they also stole a lot of tanks and airplanes of the Ukrainian ethnostate [the preservation of the race is in the constitution like in Hungary]). Then Russia breaks its promise funded, sent glowies, SOF and armed antifas and invaded Crimea. The Minsk agreements I and II were broken by Russia.

The Minsk I agreement was broken only 10 minutes after going into effect with the ambush of the retreating Aidar battalion, by the fake FSB glowing "neo-nazi" russich gang. Its leader who in 2023 considered Chechens as ethnic Russians on Telegram (2023 post) is basically he is a civic nationalist claiming to be natsoc.

His Chabbad / Jewtin funded militia is attacking a white country with the pretext that Ukrainians deserve it because they voted for a Jew (mostly boomers and retards) which doesn't make any sense as his own country

is run by a half white half jewish manlet who basically put death penalty or life sentence without parole for holohoax denial, gay harassment (what Putin claims to do despite having 2% of his population HIV positive, but if you know the culture of guilt of the East you know what I'm talking about), any sort of vague criticism of miscegenation (even without racial slurs) and critics about mass immigration from the Far East.

We all know what happened to Tesak beheaded by glowies who turned off the CCTVs while he was in solitary confinement in prison while no fellow inmate could had been there with him. Even the non-whites from Al Jazeera wrote an article about the death of the Russian far right making persecutions of white nationalists in the USA and Europe look like a joke compared to what is happening in Russia. It's also important to notice that the West sent a lot of money to Ukraine but very few guns and vehicles compared to the lend lease of the USSR against national socialist Germany despite the fact that America should had protected the integrity of Ukraine as it was stipulated in the memorandum.

Thus America refused to send boots on the ground and as of May 2023, moreover we can notice that not a single Abrams had been sent to the battlefield, only 14 challengers, few bradleys IFVs and handful of leopards. Roughly about 30 HIMARS MLRS, some batteries of IRIS missiles and Patriot were delivered so far but nothing serious compared to what the AIPAC annually got from the congress for their fellow kikes in their racial colony in the Levant. So neither the west nor the east had provided what they were supposed to provide to Ukraine (for Russia it was non agression and for America it was boots on the ground and more weaponry even though I must be honest they provided good intel and a lot of STANNAG rounds and 155mm shells, but it's not enough). While I'm writing this stuff (May 2023) MSM is barely talking about the possibility of supplying F-16 whereas we needed them back to the first days of the invasion, same for the HIMARS that were only provided in July 2022 instead of earlier like in the first days of the invasion and we wasted a lot of time and Ukrainian blood in order to secure the delivery of outdated AMX-RCs and few challengers, bradleys and marders.

We even saw white Ukrainians in an article of the Wall Street Journal in Bakhmut with antique guns like maxim machineguns while israeli kikes get minimis, fn mags and 50 bmgs from the west for free [Some republicans especially MIGAtards want to cut the spending for Ukraine for those filthy semitic rats whereas their fellow white people are being killed, their penises and testicles cut off by asianiggers as we saw on the footage provided by Bellingcat]. The savagery of those non-whites need to be stopped but some people keep being hypnotized by the Kremlin's propaganda especially in the Christian far right (less in the pagan or identitarian, Völkisch or blood and soil right wing groups) and the far left (it is normal as they are antifascists).

Wisdom is a key for our struggle against immigration and the resulting dilution of our blood, the purest and best race God created. Our destiny is in our hands in this critical era most than ever today, especially for white nationalist groups fighting in Ukraine.

Throughout kikish policies such as the importation of african apes and jihadis, legalization of bestiality and its promotion (by jewish advertisers, scholars and politicians) with inferior beings continental Europe and America are more than ever threaten by mongrelization. Furthermore, in Africa, Nigresses' birthrates are paving the way for a full-fledged Negrocalypse when those useless and hungry african apes flooding Europe would be a majority in the youth of western populations. The invasion of the Ukraine is also a threat of mongrelization with mongoloid blood coming from the east with the neo-bolshevik asiatic hordes led by the antifa soviet boomer Lubbavitch lover Jewtin (mommy's name is litteraly shalomova) who recently resettled asians in Mariupol after having carpet bombed and invaded a white country for his "special operation" in order to "denazify" Ukraine... We can only understand it as a de-whiteyfication as it is a more elaborated sentence paraphrasing the north american pavement ape "Ooga Booga Kill crackers cuz theyyr racciss n shiettt mann".

However, our final hope is that Ukraine will stand then put as a leader a white nationalist like Biletsky and replace liberal jewlinsky. Then operations (covert or open) for the liberation of continental Europe and then America from jewish led non-whites will begin. This war cannot be dodged as the asianiggers are committing a total onslaught in Europe. Jewtin is the number one reason of white people getting killed in 2022 and 2023

far beyond the anti-white murders committed by the negroes and the arapes all acorss Europe and America.

In our circles Russian propaganda achieved significant gains:

As we saw the pro-russian contrarian and ex-leader of the Klan david duke backing the Z side which is a disgrace to the KKK and all of the MIGAtards shilling for weapon supplies for semitic jews in israel and at the same time supporting Russia by boasting about how we need to provide less support to white Ukraine (look at their flag: it means blue eyes and blond hair and not field of wheat and the blue skies). All of them deserve a lynching. I won't even talk about Mark Colerett and the fakes he posted on gab with counterfeited screen caps and RT or Sputnik crap. Also, I won't talk about the ex-gay, half spic half jew "Handsome Truth" (even his leafleting campaign is interesting and good for the movement) who blocked me because he thought that choosing the Ukrainians was being a pawn of the jews. This guy is against taking any side, saying that we should accept peace at all costs even if it makes Ukraine becoming a little russia with holohoax denials and anti racists laws making the kind of activities he did in the USA illegal in Ukraine. You can see his level of retardation. This guy is indirectly preaching for a stop of the war which would make the areas conquered by Russia unfit for the kind of pro-white propaganda operations he did in the USA.

Anyways, Russian gopnik imperialists, as well as the negroes and the kikes are eternal enemies of the innocent, pure, peaceful and civilized whites because as they can't genetically and by the behavior act white it makes them willing to destroy us. You might say why? They want to kill us because as they can't be the best like we are, they want to destroy us. You must understand that for an inferior race the mere sight of our majesty turns them mad and makes them face their own failures and imperfections and as they can't stand that this is why they need to kill us in order to feel better and less miserable.

Russia is linked to israel as the guardian told us with the Forpost drones and the lancet loitering ammunition which is almost a copy paste of the israeli EC400 loitering ammunition (one bladed motor, same autonomy, same type of payload, same double X structure).

Debunking the subtle pro Russian propaganda's narratives and catch phrases "brother war" (wrongly used by HandsomeTruth) and "both are lead by jews". Okay let's say two white dudes are working for two different companies Ukraine Ltd and Russia LLC and both of them got jewish bosses, if one of them runs wielding a knife toward the other does the one about to be killed has the right of self-defense?

If an armed white crackhead breaks into your house would you hesitate to defend your self and fight back? Or would you tell him:" Please exit my house and never come back because armed robbery is bad". Everybody reading this (if armed) will immediately grab his gun and mag dump the bastard trying to harm your family.

I also use the preface to tackle down some misconception that you will encounter while reading the book. One of the biggest misconceptions is about Iran (anti-jew) vs Saudi (pro-jew) (alliance should be made without romanticism and should only seek racial and national interests). One of the authors back in 2007 or 2008 at that time didn't anticipate what will happen in 2022 and 2023.

Now we see that iranians supply anti-white Russsia with drones killing whites whereas Saudis funded the Ukrainian ballistic program (Hirm-2) and even invited the Ukrainian president to the arab league summit. Iran is not based at all it is the first country which allowed trannifycation (following the "based and trad" fatwa of the child sodomizer khomeini), supported Nigger Lives Matter publicly (and the convicted kike terrorist Rosenberg and the two lesbian nigresses behind that "civil rights" group). Except by denying the holohoax Iran didn't contributed to help white nationalists in Europe.

Hating jews isn't enough to be an ally, you need common interests. Chinamen hate the jew for the opium war and the Sassoon gang (The equivalent of the Sackler family in America with the opioid, crisis and as always different names, same kikes), iranians because they are rivals for the domination of the ME especially in Lebanon and Syria and niggers for the slave trade but still we don't got the same interests despite having the same enemies. Pakistan also provided artillery rounds to Ukraine despite Chinese pressure not to do so. As you can see geopolitics is quite complicated: why would muslims (saudis, pakis and turks) help the

Ukrainians? Honestly I think they just want Russia to be downed for their own regional influences to prevail over the one of Russia or its allies such as Iran. Like the kikes they are committing a mistake because their hatred for Russia blind them about the awakening of the mighty Aryans they are contributing to. Erdogan believes he will got Crimea under the commandership of its tatars but he is wrong, maybe the muslim parts of the caucasus will join his memetic turkic empire but the Ukrainians will go full 1488 once the Russians are kicked out of Ukraine. As I said there will be neither land owned by Larry Fink nor mosques in Ukraine after the zigger carcasses stop to be sent by the Kremlin.

Like James Mason said the democucks, the twinks, the kikes and the cringy NAFO faggots are committing their worst mistake by arming white nationalists in Ukraine (even if I personally think it's not enough as guaranties offered by the west in the Budapest memorandum aren't respected by the West yet but it is still better than nothing at all). Still despite being under sanctions during the siege of Mariupol deprived of Javelins and NLAWs which resulted in their encirclement, Azov and the Right Sector now (as in May 2023) start receiving high tech stuff. I'm very optimistic, especially when I see dudes such as Zaluzhnyi [who posed with a rabbi] being shadowed by the right wing zigger killer maximalist Budanov and his based books on his desk as a dog whistle for our side.

Here I'm talking about the same right sector who did 99% of the streetfighting job during the Maidan revolution (the liberals pro EU faggs only protested but the white nationalists did most of the killings and beatings against the regime troops) everybody remembered the sniper duels between Yanukovych's snipers and Right Sector's ones in the famous hotel occupied by the right sector filling with lead the berkuts and other types of feds.

Talking about the Right Sector, I think that political parties linked to Azov had definetly taken the lead of the based right wing movement in Ukraine, especially over the Right Sector just after the death of the great Oleksandr Muzychko who was too based too soon (especially when he slapped an incompetent and corrupt prosecutor and when he was open carrying unregistered firearms). As a result SBU jews used that as a pretext to kill him. On the other side Biletsky was more bright and less excentric as he understood that the jewish democracy will use every single illegal thing he might do as a pretext to crack down on his group and kill him. Now with the Mariupol siege, Azov (which grew from a battalion to now a full-sized brigade) and his political branch are now mainstream and poilitically acceptable same for DaVinci's funeral (right sector member led by the less charismatic Yarosh). This Right Sector member was so popular that Zelenskike and Sanna Marin were forced to attend his funeral in a church which is exceptional for an ethnic jew and a liberal female, the funny thing is that before that DaVinci openly insulted Poroshenkike and and all of the mainstream politicians but still those liberals are forced to pay respect for a guy who (verbally) spat on their faces and disrespected them. Now the far right in Ukraine is politically correct, respected and unavoidable which is one of the positive outcomes of this war and it will be the reward for all of the white nationalists' blood spilled during this war of self-defense for the survival of the Ukrainian ethnicity, language and culture.

We have to be humble regarding facts that we don't know but be sure that if the jews of Russia started the war and that the jews in Washington are reluctant to arm massively right wing battalion (who did 99% of the job on the frontline), and if they are arming very slowly the regular units with high quality stuff it is maybe because they know that the Ukrainians are one of the white peoples who refused the mongrelization with nigger and asianigger blood (the so called kalergi plan you can google the Charlemagne prize that was recieved by Zelensky, its original name was Kalergi prize).

Ukrainians are the vanguard of the white race refusing blood corruption, both jews (atlantic and muscovite) may have decided to trigger the war (muscovite) and to make it last as longer as possible to increase the death toll among Ukrainian whites by delaying key weapon deliveries (game changers) deliveries (atlantic jews). Or maybe it is another reason such as America willing to spare its surplus for the next confrontation with China but it doesn't make sense as the war with China that will involve India (high altitude conflict without tanks) or the maritime conflict with the non-CCCP aligned east asian countries (which also doesn't involve tanks but rather than that several fleets of vessels, submarines and aircraft carriers) and will not consume abrams tanks and Land based aircrafts such as the F-16 that the Ukrainians desperately need but other type of weaponries such as high altitude capable helicopters and high altitude gear for infantry (Ladakh), aircraft carrier based jets (not the F-16s requested by the Ukrainians) such as the F-18s or the F-35s.

As states always follow their logic to the bitter end we will experience either a total annihilation of the whitest part of Europe by antifa soviet nostalgics, or a gigacivil war in Russia allowing Ukraine to be a base for white nationalist cells in order to dismantle lubbavitch pro-asian migrant capitalists grip on Russia. Don't be fooled if Ukraine falls, the baltics, Poland and the rest of the unmongrelized Europe will be carpet bombed to ashes like Mariupol or Bakhmut.

This pro russian tropism in the right wing is more dominant among atlantic whites than continental whites and whithin continental whites eastern european pledge for a total zigger death whereas western europeans want Russia to leave ukraine and go back to business as usual especially Macron and the german industrial establishment.

The Mariupol siege and the massacre of white nationalists POWs had broken isolation and brought fame upon our side which back in the old days was daily vilified by jewish owned media. Despite the loss of the most natsoc members of the Ukrainian whites it was a positive event for the cause as it was a true holocaust (in its purest definition) in the sense that it was a sacrificial defense or a suicidal defense for a Godly cause (Kyiv following the American embargo on azov refused to send them Gucci tier AT weapons). As a result they gained eternity in the heart of the Ukrainian nation likewise for Bandera who faught the mighty USSR despite having no chance to win (except posthumously). Even in the West I was astonished (I was surprised in the good sense) by the fact that the leftist cucks of my university had organized a showcase of a Ukrainian artist and his masterpieces about the Mariupol siege, we are now mainstream guys !!! Even in the West you ask a normie: “Can you give me the name of a Ukrainian unit ?”, they will respond by the name of Azov.

Keep in mind that kikes are the worst enemy of mankind look at how they betrayed the Georgians by giving the russians the frequency of the drones they sold to Georgian army. Also remember how baltic and polish bros were cucked by kikes regarding the spike missiles' (if feds read that shit at least have half a brain and only buy javelin and NLAWs next time) end user certificate change refusal. Same for surplus 105mm shells for german Leopards 1A5 made in israel. This later on made Germany trigger a diplomatic crisis with Brazil by suspending the jewish brokered guarani APC selling to an asian country, as Germany then needed to get brazilian made 105mm shells after israeli refusal. Brazilians refused due to Lula's commie stance and BRICS membership, this triggered a german retaliation which was the stop of the sell due to the german transmission in the APC...

I can also talk about the israelis breaking the embargo by supplying Russia with Forpost's electronics (Guardian) and Lancet loitering ammunition which is in fact a modified israeli EC400 secretly made under license like the Forpost (observation drone which was in fact an american blue print stolen by the jews). For (((some))) reasons the jewish press refuses to talk about kikish supplies and licenses for russian loitering ammuitions.

Don't even get me started on Trump which fulfilled 0 of his promises (no "ten feet higher" wall with in fact more illegals than during the two terms of Obama, niggers destroying cities, pardon only for jewish spies and niggsta rap celebrities...) as Moonman said in his inspiring lyrics: "Trump is the bitch of the kikes, he sucks on Israel's dick all night [...]". I don't say Desantis is any better with his unconstitutional bills he tried to push regarding kike-criticism speech, to that stage even the dems didn't go that hard on "hate speech" but some will said he fights the trannies okay but that's not enough. The overinflated assertions regarding the trannification of the youth is exaggerated as I might actually had seen only one (transvestite but idk if he cut his penis off) in my entire life, contrary to the niggers, the racemixers and the kikes who are the number one problem of the West. Trannies only exist on the internet. I can guarantee you that niggers, pakis, arapes and spics will bully them if they see them in real life. However I support people like the blood tribe in Ohio harassing and wrecking their plans by siegging heil in front of the schools allowing that kind of degeneracies.

God willing after Russia is done we will dismantle every single anti-white pro-nigger holohoax believing regimes of the universe. Niggers you better watch out because even in the dark we'll see you with our night sight goggles. For the kikes I urge them to go back to israel because the day the race war starts you will be the first target of the sandniggas you brought in. The niggers also remember the family names on those slave ships and the chinamen remember the Sassoon family and the opium wars. And most importantly: the white man is eager to settle the score with the filthy hooked nose rats who tried to genocide him. So it is better for all the non-whites (jews included) to get out of there before it is too late because we will be ruthless and will make the holohoax, slavery and colonization look like a joke.

God willing the white race will prevail, Sieg Heil.

If your purse allows you to do so, you can support me for more translations by sending me some Moneros (XMR) at the address bellow:

8AgL73N5LLFZ4X3UsHjH9m8LTuB8RdRg6ZthYXaJVaQPPW4WmomKF61RRBcUd6ifNdeewsBdGSEUrd7CivCeUAgzFFs6aWz

Andriy Biletskyi

Ukrainian racial Social-Nationalism is the ideology of the "Patriot of Ukraine" Organization

"Patriot of Ukraine" is a militant organization that professes the ideology of Ukrainian Social Nationalism. Our task is to fight for the creation of a powerful Social-Nationalist movement that will encompass the entire Nation and gain power in the State.

The main mystical idea of Social-Nationalism is the creation, instead of a bunch of scattered individuals mechanically united by the name "Ukrainians" and the presence of a Ukrainian passport, of a National Supercommunity - a single biological organism, which will consist of New People - physically, intellectually and spiritually developed individuals. From the mass of individuals, the Nation must emerge, and from the weak modern man, the Overman.

Social-Nationalism is based on a number of fundamental principles that clearly distinguish it from other right-wing movements. This is a kind of triad: Sociality, Racism, Great Power.

I. Sociality. We are competing to create a harmonious National community. We claim that sharp social separation leads to the decay and disintegration of the Spirit of the national community, as well as to the nurturing of selfishness. We do not reject the existence of the rich (provided that the material values are obtained by them through honest and socially useful work), but we reject the possibility of the existence of the poor. Every Ukrainian, regardless of the nature of the work performed, must have a decent social status and material support. "It is a shame to be poor in a rich country, it is even more shameful to be rich in a poor country."

From the principle of Sociality follows our complete denial of democracy and liberalism, which give rise to the division of the Nation into isolated units and the power of the gray crowd over outstanding personalities (ochlocracy). Instead, we put forward the ideas of National Solidarity, natural hierarchy and strict discipline as the basis of our new society. Not the "democratic vote" of the crowd, which cannot give advice on their own life, not the life of the State, but the natural selection of the best representatives of the Nation - born leaders-leaders. Whoever believes that such a system of power is unacceptable, let him think about whether a modern power system is acceptable, in which a prostitute and an academician have equal voting rights, where a degraded drug addict or pederast is equally valued in elections with the commander of a tank division.

II. Racism All our nationalism is nothing - a castle on the sand, without the foundation of blood, the foundation of Race. It is characteristic of traditional (post-war, post-Ounov) nationalism to put the cart before the horse - to declare that the Nation is a linguistic, cultural or territorial-economic phenomenon. We definitely do not reject the importance of spiritual and cultural-linguistic factors, as well as territorial patriotism. But, according to our deep conviction, all these are only derivatives of our Race, our Racial nature. If Ukrainian spirituality, culture and language are unique, it is only because our racial nature is unique. If Ukraine is an earthly paradise, it is only because our Race has turned it into one.

Accordingly, the treatment of our National organism must begin with the racial cleansing of the Nation. And then a healthy National Spirit will be reborn in a healthy Racial body, and with it culture, language and everything else. In addition to the issue of purity, we must also pay attention to the issue of completeness of the Race. Ukrainians are a part (and one of the largest and most qualitative) of the European White Race. Race-Creator of a great civilization, the highest human achievements. The historical mission of our Nation, in this turning point of the century, is to lead and lead the White Peoples of the whole world in the last crusade for their existence. A march against the Semitic-led inhumanity.

III. Great power. We are replacing the slogan "Independent Ukraine" with the slogan "Greater Ukraine". Ukrainians are a nation with an ancient imperial history. Throughout their existence, Ukrainians had at least two superpowers - Great Scythia and Kyivan Rus. The task of the current generation is to create the Third Empire - Greater Ukraine. And this issue, surprisingly, is not so much political as biological. Any living organism in nature strives for expansion, reproduction, increase. This law is universal both for the infusoria-shoe, and for man, and for the Nation-Race. Stopping, stopping development means extinction in nature - death. Inhibition of population growth leads to the biological death of the Nation, the cessation of political expansion and the decline of the State. Thousands of times we have heard the groans of pseudo-nationalists about the oppression of us by the Poles and Moscow, their curses on empires. Social-Nationalism is not like that, he claims - if we are strong, then we will take everything that belongs to us by right and even a little more, we will build our Superpower-Empire - Great Ukraine, which will be the legal successor of the Scythian and Kievo-Russian empires. If we are weak, then we have a place among conquered dying peoples. Because that's Nature! The choice is ours!

Thus, Social-Nationalism raises on the shield all ancient Ukrainian Aryan values, forgotten in modern society. Only their revival and implementation by a group of fanatical fighters can lead to the final victory of European civilization in the world struggle.

We stand on this, and we cannot do otherwise!

Glory to Ukraine!

Svyatoslav Vyshinskyi

The perspective of Central Europe

In the conditions of the construction and approval of a new globalized map of the world, the question of geopolitical self-determination arises more than ever before the Eastern European space. Despite the end of the Cold War in 1991 and the destruction of the Eurasian empire of the USSR and the brief period of geopolitical dominance of the USA, the reality of the implementation of the project of a unipolar world in principle is becoming more and more doubtful, since the geopolitical tension between the Atlantic and continental powers has not been removed, and their confrontation shows a tendency constant escalation of political-ideological, economic and military conflicts.

Despite the collapse of the USSR empire and the rapid invasion of the Western civilizational model deep into the Eurasian continent in the 1990s, already at the beginning of the 21st century. recovery in this space became evident, as a result of the paradigmatic social reaction, conservative ideocratic and totalitarian regimes. The dominance of the West in the military-political, economic and cultural spheres in this geopolitical sector turned out to be short-lived and superficial and led to the rapid loss of the US initiative in the global confrontation. Fragmentation of the continental space did not result in its geopolitical capitulation, but only fragmented and complicated the overall political picture. In this sense, the attempts of the Western civilizational aggressor in the person of the USA to eliminate competitive formations step by step and to finally close a single monopolar world in the heart of Eurasia are natural.

The military campaigns in Afghanistan and Iraq indicate the beginning of a new geopolitical war between the thalassocratic and telurocratic civilizations of the West and the East. In addition to purely economic formulations, the latest war on the part of the USA is obviously of a preventive nature with the aim of preventing the reconstruction of the Eurasian empire by both the traditionalist Islamic world and the communist Far East. At this stage, two main bridgeheads are already clearly visible - the Iranian Highlands and the West Siberian Plain - which will serve as an economic and territorial support for the future intracontinental intervention of the United States and China. The concentric penetration vectors trace a single goal – the Central Asian region around the Caspian region.

The uniqueness of the postmodern situation is that the civilizational dynamics of spatial and racial development have intersected for the first time and will continue to preserve the corresponding clear polarization of the planet, and in the event of the defeat of one of the aggressors, it will lead to the final and final monopolarization of the world. To denote a new racial and spatial picture at the final turn of history, it is advisable to introduce the concept of *semi-globalization* .

As a result of mass immigration movements in racial terms, the future thalassocratic civilization of the West will obviously be a dark-skinned Semito-Negroid surrogate, which will be opposed by the Turko-Mongoloid tellurocratic civilization of the East. The semipolar world in its apogee leaves no room for the European Middle Civilization, and at this stage both geopolitical and demographic factors confirm this pessimistic forecast.

Today it is obvious that the prospects of any European national power are illusory without taking into account the global situation and the construction of an alternative third geopolitical formation of the Caucasian (White) race. An objective assessment of the current situation gives reason to assert that the space of the former Warsaw bloc is pivotal in the possible reconstruction of the latest concept of Central Europe, which, with the racial decline and geopolitical leveling of Western Europe, is rapidly shifting to the east.

Eastern Europe, as the area of confident dominance of European Christian civilization, should also be understood as the last enclave of the White Race. In the context of the general demographic decline, the steady growth of colored immigration and the gap between the two geopolitical poles, the national prospects of the Eastern European peoples require a transition to a higher level of self-organization. The category of the nation-state in the globalized world is finally canceled in favor of supranational and supranational formations. It becomes obvious that the possibility of an adequate opposition to the New World Order involves the opposition to the globalized world of an alternative geopolitical doctrine built at the same time on fundamentally different political foundations.*an interstate* formation as opposed to a supranational one, the concept of *a localized* world as opposed to a globalized one.

Specifying the proposed scheme, it is necessary to emphasize the leading role of Ukraine in its construction around the Baltic-Black Sea axis. The racial, economic and cultural potential of Ukraine, together with its central geographical position, put it in a key position in Eastern Europe. The third geopolitical formation is thought of as the heir of the medieval state Rzecz Pospolita - both territorially and organizationally, namely in the form of the Slavic triumvirate confederation. The named formation is a key axis of Eastern European self-integration and in the long term it includes the Balkans, the Baltic States, parts of Central Europe, as well as the European regions of the Russian Federation up to the Ural and Caucasus ranges in its orbit. The eternal Slavic colonization expansion to the east, which stopped with the completion of the formation of the territory of the Russian Empire, should be restored and continued on a new – racial-ethnic basis. It should be understood that the timely racial and geopolitical self-organization of Eastern Europe, in addition to self-identification and protectionist goals, automatically includes it in an active continental intervention for the Siberian-Caspian resources, which mean world domination.

The objective factors of modern geopolitics already now point to the convergence of Eastern European countries, which, naturally, is primarily followed by the example of Ukraine, Poland and Belarus. The admission of new eastern member states to the European Union will soon lead to the formation of their internal opposition in the EU. It should also be expected that this opposition will have a clearly expressed national and, in a broad sense, protectionist character, the consequence of which is a solidary Eastern European political self-design.

Finally, it is necessary to indicate the main drivers of the predicted process, namely the right-wing and far-right political forces of the Eastern European national space. The racial-civilizational perspective of the third

geopolitical entity is irrevocably possible only in its right-wing ideocratic version on a non-capitalist socio-economic basis. We see modern Central Europe as an embodied challenge of modernity and a comprehensive revolution of conservative forms. Due to the above, the transition of the far-right Ukrainian political forces to the Eastern European race-oriented foreign policy platform and the organic linking of the latter to the domestic political program is particularly significant. In this light, we prerogatively assess the practical prospects of the Ukrainian right-wing forces and the right-wing movement in general from the positions and the degree of their deep traditionalism, spiritual Eurocentrism and the ambition of imperial self-affirmation.

Mykhailo Golovko

Economic nationalism as an effective method of building a national economy

Introduction

Analysis of the current state of Ukraine's economy is impossible without going back to the early 90s. The past years are often called the years of lost opportunities. It cannot be said that there were no "saving" economic ideas, but their feature was ignoring the need to build a national economy. Moreover, "economic nationalism" was preemptively condemned, and this in a country where the government today controls only 10 percent of its own economy.

Today it is clear that it was necessary not to waste time, but to carry out structural restructuring of the economy, to reduce external economic dependence, to create an internal market, to look for alternative ways of obtaining energy carriers, non-traditional sources of energy. And this is nothing more than the construction of the national economy. Because not having a national economy means not having any, and this is understood in all states, except Ukraine.

Today's nationalists are rightly accused of adhering to flawed ideas, which can be reduced to the triad "language - flag - anthem", which is not capable of lifting Ukraine out of the economic crisis. In fact, nationalism has its own intellectual history. He has a holistic vision of the entire complex of socio-political, economic, cultural, religious, etc. problems

Nationalist economic policy has two directions: the first of them is directly responsible for creating the national gross product, regulating social relations, etc. The second is for the creation of a "psychological" national product, a feeling of psychological comfort based on economic measures.

How is nationalistic economic policy implemented? First, it supports primarily those activities that have a symbolic value for "national identity". More specifically, it refers to the fact that, for example, special attention is paid to industry, in particular to those industries that symbolize the industrial competitiveness of the nation (recall the importance of the automobile industry for the Americans, the chemical and steel industry for the Germans, shipbuilding for the British, certain industries for the countries of the South - East Asia, etc.).

Secondly, the implementation of a nationalist economic policy should promote those types of economic activity that will meet the needs of the educated middle classes in terms of employment. The specific choice here will depend on the level of economic development of the country: in underdeveloped countries, preference will be given to activities related to bureaucratic state service, in developed ones - management, education, health care, legal professions, etc.; the most advanced countries will create jobs primarily in the fields of higher education and science.

Thirdly, nationalist economic policy organizes large-scale state control over economic activity and at the same time encourages various forms of private economic activity. This guarantees the employment of educated people in the field of public administration and at the same time provides an opportunity to exercise public control over the fact that Ukrainian citizens have advantages in employment. After all, nationalist economic policy is directed, especially at the initial stages of the formation of nations or nation-states, to the redistribution of material profit in favor of the middle classes, the presence of which is a prerequisite for the stability of society and state development.

"...Investments in the formation of the middle class at the expense of the resources of the majority of the

population, created by nationalist policies, can be the most important condition for the creation of a viable national state." It is clear that in this case we are dealing with an ideal scheme that takes into account the diversity of social practice proposed by the "era of nationalism". In reality, all the above elements of the nationalist economic policy were actually never presented together, at the same time.

The course of development of Ukrainian society during the times of transformation makes it urgent to rethink nationalism in a new context, first of all, because it has become obvious: true sovereignty is based on an economic basis, and the political capacity of the state is impossible without convincing economic arguments; secondly, as it "unexpectedly turned out", the economic well-being of an individual grows not as a result of an endless process of redistribution of what was acquired by previous generations, but is multiplied thanks to active economic activity, and in the modern global world its parameters directly depend on the economic power of the state; and, thirdly, the modern interpretation of private well-being reaches the extra-economic level - it is an indispensable condition for economic progress, which includes, among others, such concepts as the level of trust,

There are a number of countries that, at important moments of transformation, were able to go beyond the liberal method, and that is why they formed their own, national model of development. Thus, the ideology of liberal individualism was strongly imposed on post-war, defeated Germany by the victorious countries, first of all the USA, and a place was given in the international division of labor as an agrarian-industrial country. Economists – theoreticians and practitioners – made a lot of efforts to resist this pressure: it is no coincidence that, hiding behind theses about loyalty to classical postulates and departure from the national-socialist model, they managed to form their own methodology - ordoliberalism, where the institutional-national principle was hidden behind liberal phraseology "orders".

This is what allowed the country, based on the national mentality, to preserve its national identity and make an economic breakthrough in a short period of time. The example of Germany became a demonstration of how methodological imperialism can be avoided in extreme conditions, when resistance to the pressure of the dominant ideology or country was impossible. The liberal method ignores the fact that the national economy as a whole can itself be considered as an independent component of a single global economy, as a competing entity.

Based on the national-institutional, not the cosmopolitan, liberal paradigm of development (individualism is the basis today), Ukrainian society should follow the path of forming another transformational model. Which, based on the strategy of national benefit, would have the following parameters:

- the general social goal of the transformation period - exiting the economic crisis, ensuring stability in society, forming a new, rational structure of national production, increasing the level of general well-being, ensuring the active participation (leading role) of the state in the transformation processes of the main social institutions, both economic and social ;

- the state should act as a full-fledged economic entity, based on its own national interests, gradually getting rid of those non-strategic state-owned productions that are unprofitable, unprofitable; controlling the activities of those that are strategic or provide funds to support transformation processes. At the same time, it is the state that should promote the "self-formation" of new industries, stimulate their creation, subsidize, provide material support, act as a corporate investor, etc.;

- in the field of international economic activity, the state's efforts should be aimed at preserving the old and winning back new sectors of the world market, and therefore the main strategic direction of the export-import policy should be the preservation of the state monopoly of foreign trade in those industries that ensure the competitiveness and stability of the national economy (which, in fact, logically follows from the principles of the structure of the state form of ownership). In this direction, it is necessary to apply paternalistic and protective measures, designed to help the domestic manufacturer to survive in the global competitive environment, and to enable a new, private form of ownership to establish itself. In the same context, an important direction of the state's activity should be a structure-forming policy focused on national economic advantages,

The mentioned spheres of the social structure according to the national-institutional methodology are considered in the context of a systemic, complex approach: each of them plays its role only in combination with the other. They are united in the system by a single paradigm of development, with a single goal - strengthening the economic and political power of the state, as an indispensable condition and basis for the

growth of the nation's well-being and then, as a result, strengthening the well-being of its individual representatives.

History shows that the implementation of such a model in the context of transformations always gave quick and significant results, provided the country with an economic breakthrough. An example of this is the success of the application of mobilization models in various countries, in particular: in Germany, List's industrial mobilization model, Bismarck's mobilization model in the 19th century; in the 20th century - Roosevelt's American "New Deal"; De Gaulle's mobilization model of economic modernization in France; Erhard's German post-war mobilization model of export expansion; the Japanese post-war innovation model; from the more modern - the Chinese mobilization model of industrial breakthrough, etc.

All these models are united by the desire to make the national state (and not the whole world) powerful and competitive in the global economic and political space. Their analysis shows that the common essential features for them are:

are models of the development of society in the global space: mobilization models are based on the thesis of the need to use internal and external economic and political potentials to form the foundations of self-sufficient dynamic and anticipatory development of the country in the global environment. They are known to be confrontational, partially autarkic (they deny complete openness) and "nationally selfish";

– the main constituents of the mobilization models were:

• existence of a development strategy;

• the presence of a nationalistically oriented political force (or person) capable of forming a corresponding ideology and implementing a similar strategy;

• active cumulative economic role of the state;

• the determining role of state ownership in the economic structure of society;

• dirigisme and planning.

The fact that the mobilization model denies economic openness as a factor of internal economic development attracts special attention in connection with the problems faced by the Ukrainian economy as a result of the liberalization of international economic relations. Economic openness is harmful because it creates the conditions for pumping natural resources from less developed countries to more powerful ones, thereby depriving these countries of their natural competitive advantages and, therefore, the hope for a future economic breakthrough. Only countries that are able to use its advantages can be interested in openness: a poorly developed country, or one whose economy is in a state of crisis, will not be able to take advantage of the "advantages of openness", because powerful countries interested in using cheaper resources, will not contribute to the development of national production and will not release the country to the world market (with the exception of those cases when the sectors of the national economy are fully controlled by developed countries). Developed countries are able to block weak countries' access to world markets immediately, as soon as a competitive threat arises in a certain sector of the world market.

Thus, economic openness does not fit into the mobilization model, which involves deploying the potential of the national economy in the direction of increasing its competitiveness in order to join the world community on equal terms and confidently confront other economies. All known national mobilization models are based on the fact that in the period of transformation of the public economy, the liberalization of international economic relations inhibits the processes of formation of the national economy, hinders the formation of the country's economic potential and blocks its opportunities to enter the world economic space on parity terms.

Here it is worth noting that other non-economic institutions also played an important role, such as the presence of a leader for whom national interests were above all else, as well as the presence of a proven, well-founded development strategy based on a strong nationalist theoretical doctrine. Instead, Ukraine is developing according to other principles - according to the self-development model of capitalism, which is not designed for an economic breakthrough. History provides no evidence that the so-called "self-development" led to the strengthening of the power of the national state. A country that wants to achieve success is simply doomed to use a mobilization model based on economic nationalism.

As for Ukraine, it still does not have a strategically verified national mobilization doctrine: the development of transformational processes is taking place according to a "wild" scenario, and therefore the gradual but

rather dynamic weakening of the country's competitiveness on the world market is a logical consequence. According to the World Economic Forum, according to this indicator, in 2002 Ukraine ranked 77th in the world (out of 80), while in the previous year, 2001, it was 69th.

For example, the deployment of privatization - the leading institution of transformations, as already noted, took place contrary to the logic of the doctrine of national economic security, and the result of the implementation of this program is the transfer of key, decisive structure-forming industries to the ownership of other states, which completely undermines any basis for the formation of a competitive national economy. This is not just an outflow of resources - it is a complete dependence of the country's economy and a threat to national security. Further privatization sounds like a sentence to the national economy and national sovereignty.

Returning to the problem of the relevance of the mobilization model using the principle of economic nationalism for Ukraine, it should be noted that the prerequisites for the use of such a model have already matured: in society there is a growing understanding that national sovereignty cannot be an end in itself, but can only be an expression of a certain idea: to become a reality , it needs economic design to prove its capacity and viability - economic arguments.

Here it should be recalled that the idea of reprivatization, formulated by the President of Ukraine in one of his speeches, was perceived negatively for several reasons, but primarily because it was not specified: first, on what basis such reprivatization will be carried out; secondly, for what purpose it will be carried out, and this made it possible to speculate on this idea, for example, to intimidate a certain part of society with another redistribution of property.

Another component of the mobilization model is autarky, as the flip side of openness. In the modern world, it acts in a transformed form, since, as a rule, the attempt to close the national economy with the help of protectionism encounters a corresponding reaction from other states. Structural autarky is a new form of closure, widely used by developed countries and consists in creating special (monopoly) operating conditions for industries, industries, and scientific research that are of strategic importance for the development of the national economy and are in the stage of formation. Such conditions provide these directions with an exclusive position in the economy, undermine the foundations of private economic interest (profit motivations), which diverts excessive attention from them and prevents them from being interpreted as closed. The main one is the increased interest in this direction on the part of the state. These conditions are canceled when the industry (production) acquires a certain level of competitiveness.

In this regard, the well-known modern American economist D. Rodrik, who has studied in detail the models of the formation of economies in countries that are developing or are in a transformational field, notes: "Developing countries must join the world economic space on their own terms, and not on conditions established by international global markets and international financial institutions. In the past, successful economies have been those that chose a strategic and differentiated approach to openness, and there is no reason to believe that anything will change in the future." Of course, for this you need to have such an approach.

Liberal illusions that must be discarded

I. Monetary policy.

An important factor of economic stabilization is a stable national currency. And these are stable prices for critical imports - energy, which is part of the cost of any product. Monetarism is often called one of the means of currency stabilization. Monetarism is no less often criticized, but on the principle that it is bad because it is bad. The idea of monetarism is to maintain a balance between the amount of money and goods in the state by limiting the amount of money in circulation. Accordingly, when foreign goods are bought, which are squeezed out of the market by compatriots, the balance of money and goods is disturbed. By reducing the amount of money, the state faces the fact of its deficit for the needs of defense, education, culture, and so on. The funds will go to the further development and improvement of foreign competitor companies, and this is the bankruptcy of domestic enterprises and the growth of unemployment. The main factor determining the well-being of the state is the ratio of exports to imports. Only this, and not mystical interpretations of the old mercantile theory: money makes money. The cheapest imported product is always more expensive than the most expensive domestic product. And the more imports are brought into the country, the less products are exported, the more expensive "cheap" imported products are. By maintaining the exchange rate of the national

currency, the National Bank reduces the amount of money in circulation and there are serious non-payments, delays in salaries of state budget organizations. the more expensive the "cheap" imported products. By maintaining the exchange rate of the national currency, the National Bank reduces the amount of money in circulation and there are serious non-payments, delays in salaries of state budget organizations. the more expensive the "cheap" imported products. By maintaining the exchange rate of the national currency, the National Bank reduces the amount of money in circulation and there are serious non-payments, delays in salaries of state budget organizations.

II. Foreign investments.

Foreign investment is the last myth of economic prosperity. It is based on simple logic - money comes to the country, and this is its wealth. In order to build a store, it is necessary to invest a certain amount of money, and in the future it is necessary to get a profit that will exceed the costs. Likewise, a foreign investor, even the best, cannot work at a loss. A joint venture can be highly profitable, but the only one that is beneficial to the state is the one that exports the manufactured product and the profit from its export exceeds the profit of the foreign investor plus the costs of imported components, raw materials, energy-carrying electricity (if it is produced at the expense of imported fuel). Otherwise, the result will be paradoxical at first glance - the more foreign investments, the poorer the country. Some profitable investments of foreign capital are possible, if the quantity of imported products decreases after that. But this will not solve the problem, because this is the world: someone must be a raw material appendage, others must be processing countries with high technologies, and if neither the first nor the second, then a country of poverty.

A foreign investor believes that it is better to have a small but stable profit than to get a one-time excess profit. For this, it is necessary to place part of the production in the form of investments. At the same time, there is an opportunity to claim tax and customs benefits. In developed countries, the main investor of the national industry is its ordinary citizens, who buy domestically produced goods, and industrial enterprises, which receive raw materials, materials, components and services from their neighbors - national manufacturers. When mainly domestic goods are bought, self-investment of the national economy takes place. This is exactly the kind of ideology that Ukraine urgently needs today, the ideology of economic patriotism, to put it even more boldly – economic nationalism.

Therefore, it is extremely necessary for us to implement the ideology of "one's own to one's own" in society - the ideology of economic nationalism. Ukraine is super-industrial the state in terms of concentration and industrial potential. This is also a big problem. In the Baltic countries, several industrial enterprises went bankrupt, and this did not cause a major crisis and did not affect the integration of these countries into Europe. And Ukraine needs to fight for sales markets, which, of course, no one will give up. The market for the sale of goods is not a God-given territory, it is an arena of economic wars with defeats and victories, where the operational situation is constantly changing. The thesis about the unlimited market of the CIS countries or third world countries does not stand up to criticism. The sales market is theoretically limited not only by the number of potential buyers, but also by their average purchasing power in freely convertible currency. If at the same time the importing country does not increase its exports, then the purchasing power of the population begins to decrease in proportion to the quantity of imported goods. Ukraine cannot be a country of "cheap" labor because it does not have its own energy sources and many raw materials. They have to be bought at world prices, and this affects the cost of utility services for the population (because Ukraine is not Malaysia or Taiwan, which are located in equatorial climate zones and are provided with their own oil).

III. New technologies.

It is often said that a foreign investor will bring new technologies with him. In this case, it is surprising why so much money is spent on technical espionage and, accordingly, on the protection of technical and commercial secrets. It turns out that it is enough to create the right conditions for the investor, and he will enrich us not only with his opinion, but also with new technologies. It is especially impressive when our government officials at meetings with Western politicians begin to complain: "We would like the latest technologies." It would look much more decent to ask the question: "Give us money!". Sharing new technologies (really new!) is not just sharing a piece of bread, it is sharing a part of the field on which high-yielding wheat grows. That is why it is especially inappropriate to rely on foreign investments that will go to the implementation of even their own technological innovations.

And the way out of the crisis lies in technical perfection, in the introduction of better products than those of

competitors. In the USA, there is a unit for conversion and new technologies under the Ministry of Defense. This allows not only to solve conversion problems, but also to quickly introduce the best technologies into the industry. Without closed production cycles within the state, it is impossible to create cheap and at the same time competitive products. Japanese, South Korean and European concerns try to produce the entire range of household appliances exclusively in-house. Capital diversification increases the competitiveness of companies and protects them from bankruptcy. The volatility and unpredictability of demand makes the business of specialized firms risky.

21st century this is the century of information technologies, the development of which is going at the fastest pace, the task of Ukraine is to develop the innovative and information industry and take a competitively dominant position in the world. Therefore, in order to be worthy competitors in the world, we must, as soon as possible, improve and develop the scientific and technical base of Ukraine, human intelligence, which today is rightly considered the main wealth of the country! However, intelligence has a tendency to flow to countries with more material resources, and this is the main threat to the nation's development. Without a powerful national capital aimed at the development of one's own economy, first of all at the development of its promising directions, a quick exit from the crisis should not be expected. It is necessary to concentrate on several national programs, after the implementation of which, to direct the received profit to the fund for the support of new technologies of Ukraine. For example: state lending to strategic industries; aircraft construction; shipbuilding; aerospace technologies; weapons production, etc. First of all, it is necessary to rely on one's own creative forces, on one's own intelligence, and not on some illusory promises of the West.

Preliminary results

In accordance with the above, we will summarize:

a) it is necessary to determine promising directions for the development of the national industry;

b) the national currency should not be provided by the total number of goods present on the Ukrainian market (as some economists believe), but only by the amount of the national product for which there is demand;

c) modern technologies are the only means of maintaining a high standard of living in civilized countries that do not have their own energy sources;

d) establish a state monopoly on strategic industries.

Any movement to raise the standard of living in Ukraine is a violation of the status quo in the world. In the conditions of exhaustion of the Earth's natural resources on the one hand and population growth on the other, only a redistribution of the "standard of living" in the world is possible.

Economic priorities of Ukraine

The priority should be to determine what depends on the competitiveness and development of the entire national economy. We often hear about the exceptionally important role of small businesses. Indeed, small businesses, like capillaries in the hematopoietic system of a person, contribute to the complete filling of the market with the product necessary for consumers. Today, the economy of Ukraine rests on small and medium-sized businesses. Therefore, the national state must develop and support small and medium-sized enterprises that fill the country's budget. Non-returnable large companies are interested in the establishment of small enterprises that perform minor functions.

However, if there are no large domestic companies, then small businesses serve foreign companies, helping them to take capital out of the country. Accordingly, the demand for foreign currency increases, which leads to a fall in the rate of the national currency, or in the case of a tight monetary policy, to a forced reduction in the amount of money in circulation. It is extremely important for Ukraine to develop and restore strategically important industries, and first of all, the industry for the production of fuel for nuclear power plants and the processing of nuclear waste. Ukraine can become a real alternative for the energy industry of Eastern European states, since it is stable, has its own uranium deposits and works according to standards common to Eastern Europe. Creation of fuel production for nuclear power plants and nuclear waste processing facilities in Ukraine will have a significant economic and political effect for us.

Restoration of a strong competitive light industry of Ukraine. Cotton is the basis of modern light industry. No cotton - no light industry, no light industry - no fullness of the state budget. This is known all over the world. But for some reason they prefer not to know with us. Cotton is a 100% liquid commodity, the demand for it in

the world is consistently high. Cotton prices are rising and will continue to rise. Because of that, everyone who can grow cotton in their country grows it. The growth of cotton production in the world is about 2% per year. Over the past 10 years, cotton production has increased by 24%, yield by 44%, consumption by 48%! Let's think about it: according to its quotations, cotton occupies the position immediately after oil in stock market reports! Special cotton exchanges operate in New York, Liverpool, Bremen... Cotton is grown in the USA, Australia, China, Egypt, Argentina, Brazil, Venezuela, Colombia, India, Turkey, Bulgaria, Greece, Spain and many other countries, the circle of cotton producers has been steadily expanding recently, and the demand for it is growing. The benefit encourages cotton to be grown where it would seem that it has never been done before.

Microelectronics - first of all: heteroelectronics, microprocessors, microcomputers, signal processors, ultra-high-frequency microcircuits, powerful switching electronic devices, creation of a closed production cycle, production of clean reagents and materials for microelectronics.

Aerospace technologies - the use of electronic and electrotechnical equipment of domestic production, development of rotorcraft and military aircraft projects, development of Ukrainian satellites and an aerospace tandem shuttle carrier based on the AN-225 aircraft.

The shipbuilding and military industries are the most profitable and competitive in the world market.

Cybernetics and biotechnology - modern methods of cleaning water and the environment from pollution, new medical drugs, plant growth biostimulators, biosensors, scientific research in the field of creating biosubstances capable of synthesizing methane from water and carbon dioxide, as well as diesel fuel from rapeseed and bioethanol. For example, in Germany, farmers receive subsidies if they grow rapeseed instead of wheat (which is plentiful in Europe). As it turned out, the production of rapeseed and soybeans is generally very profitable: the oil is used for biodiesel, and the cake, enriched with protein, serves as good fodder for livestock. This is how the Germans systematically solve the problem of fodder and fuel.

Without the development of microelectronics, the development of the electronic and electrical engineering industries is impossible. Without it, the projects of general informatization, cybernetics and automation, development of own electronic and electrical household appliances will be mythical. Without powerful semiconductor devices, it is impossible to solve the problems of energy saving and the use of non-traditional energy sources (transition of transport to AC power, DC inverters, uninterruptible power supply systems). The effectiveness of weapons also depends on the speed of electronic equipment, without it weapons are just a pile of iron! For ecological monitoring of the environment, or control of the presence of methane in coal mines, electronic equipment and biosensors cannot be dispensed with. Without developing microelectronics and biotechnology, one should forget about modern medicine. Without modern electronic and electrical products, there will be no competitive machine-building industry. Without this, our metallurgy will be a simple supplier of metal scrap abroad. New materials and advanced means of metal processing invented in Ukraine will not be used here.

The development of domestic agricultural machinery should solve a number of painful problems of the Ukrainian countryside. Now, in order to provide the village with imported equipment, we need more and more loans. Agriculture in civilized countries is subsidized, and subsidies are taken from industrial production. That is, agriculture is a factor of internal stability, not a means of external income. For example, European countries subsidize their agriculture, and at the same time, the EBRD pursues a policy of unprofitable lending for Ukrainian agriculture, as Europe's main competitor.

Only one's own efforts to develop knowledge-intensive and competitive industrial products are the way to prosperity. We clearly see economic nationalism in countries such as Canada and Mexico, which are resisting the economic offensive of a stronger American neighbor. Economic nationalism also operates in states that seek to get rid of dependence on Moscow. Economic nationalism is especially present in the newly established states, which seek to speed up their economic development at all costs. These countries pay special attention to the development of strategic industry and emphasize state enterprises rather than the private sector. Another phenomenon of economic nationalism is the own production of such products, which now still have to be imported. The policy of nationalism is directed to the distribution of profits, to the increase of production,

The goal of economic nationalism is primarily to spread the property of the members of this nation. The first step to this is the confiscation of the property of foreign corporations, or the replacement of a foreign

bureaucracy with one's own national bureaucracy (India). In addition to confiscation, there may also be a step-by-step buyout of foreign enterprises with the help of collective investments. This is followed by a customs policy aimed at stimulating and developing one's own industry. State control makes it possible to allocate work for qualified government officials.

Nationalism becomes stronger where there is a greater threat to the domestic market from foreign competitors. Most researchers emphasize that in a nationalist state, producers always have an advantage over consumers and that the distribution of the national product shifts from the working class to the middle class. The creation of a middle, intelligent class is a prerequisite for the existence of a nation-state. The growth of this class is financed by the state, using the policy of economic nationalism.

All these achievements can be achieved only by simultaneously using economic and especially political levers of the national strategy. Political dominance has always been a prerequisite for the successful development of the national economy, the formation of its advantages and competitiveness in the global economic space.

Andrii Illenko

The end of retronationalism and the prospects of New Nationalism

Introduction

This work aims to draw a line under the criticism of the current state of Ukrainian nationalism and outline a new Ukrainian Social-Nationalism, which should offer a new approach to understanding nationalist ideology. With this in mind, we will have to touch on many topics: from the analysis of the political spectrum to geopolitics. But there is no other way - the strategic line is formed from many vectors and not taking any of them into account significantly distorts it.

By the ideology of Ukrainian nationalism, we mean a set of interconnected fundamental ideas that determine the basis of the movement itself and its most important goals. It is obvious that today Ukrainian nationalism does not have an adequate ideology. This is illustrated by many examples: when a Ukrainian nationalist is asked what he is fighting for, he usually answers something like "so that everything is Ukrainian." Until now, Ukrainian nationalism reduced its discourse to the issues of language, history and Russia, simply not considering everything else. And in this case, whether we like it or not, the accusations against us regarding our lack of any constructive program are valid.

Nationalist tactics essentially fulfill the role of a strategy, which is absolutely unacceptable: wherever the changing tactical line takes us, in which ideological and political harbor, we will dock there. And this is at a time when tactics should come out of strategy and not contradict it in any way. Considering the above, we aim, in addition to criticizing the existing state of affairs, to provide a draft of a constructive program of renewed Ukrainian nationalism.

Something about ideology

What is the ideology of today's Ukrainian nationalists? Seems like an obvious question. But upon closer examination, it becomes clear how ambiguous the answer to it is. This can be seen by examining the discourse of current Ukrainian nationalists. Because ideology is expressed in discourse, like strategy in tactics or thoughts in words.

So what do we have? The Ukrainian language, recognition of the UPA, Russia is bad. And the economy, political system, foreign policy, social order, ecology, influx of migrants, demographic catastrophe and dozens and hundreds of other issues? "Yes," nationalists say, "it is extremely important, but understand that as long as the Ukrainian language, culture, history, etc. will not be spread and recognized - the economy, geopolitics, political and social system - everything is secondary. But we will write something about it in our program ...". That's what results in closed-mindedness on several issues and complete eclecticism in everything else.

Take any nationalist newspaper. We read: language, history, oppressing Ukrainians and so on without end. At best, some article about the current political situation, written in the "orange" spirit. In order to read all this and not go crazy from the monotony, you have to either be a "self-sacrificing Diasporan" or write it all

yourself.

Why did this happen? Why do nationalists not want to update their discourse? Because they cannot clearly formulate their ideology. We feel it intuitively, but when we are asked to formulate it clearly, it often causes great difficulties. But when it comes to specific definitions, it turns out that our views are very different in some places. Here we rest on a fundamental question - after all, what is nationalism? I am sure that here we must be categorical in our definitions.

If we conduct an analysis, we will find that there are at least two nationalisms, or rather even two qualities of nationalism, which cannot be reduced to a common denominator, if only because they are in different planes. The first type of nationalism is nationalism as a national liberation movement. This is not an ideology (it is clear that any movement has certain ideas on which it is based, but far from always this, in some places, eclectic set of axioms can be called an ideology), but simply a broad social movement for the creation or restoration of national statehood. At the same time, the issues of ideological - philosophical principles, socio-economic models, political projects are of secondary importance, if they have any place at all.

The second type of nationalism is nationalism as an ideology, which has its powerful and polished theoretical base and stands in the same row with other ideologies. The main feature of ideology is that it provides its own, unique model of the world. This is what creates nationalism, or more precisely, integral nationalism. The first type of nationalism can pass into the second, acquire a new quality. Sometimes, as in Ukraine in the 20s and 30s of the XX century. we have seen an organic combination of these two dimensions of nationalism. It was both a liberation movement and an ideologically polished integral nationalism with an all-encompassing worldview and a powerful philosophical base.

The fact is that when we talk about nationalism as an ideology, we are talking about integral nationalism. All other chimeras of the type of liberal nationalism, national anarchism, national communism, etc. are in no way varieties of nationalism, but are, respectively, liberalism, anarchism or communism with some national coloring or a certain unnatural and unsustainable ideological eclecticism, which has no right to be considered a full-fledged ideological construct.

Integral nationalism experienced its heyday and decline in the first half of the 20th century. Originating at the turn of the century in France, it spread throughout the world and became the largest modern project to change the fundamental foundations of civilization and move away from the enlightened paradigm of European culture. As a result, integral nationalism was represented in dozens of countries, in each of which it had its own characteristics and quite significant differences. Without a doubt, Italian fascism, German National Socialism, Croatian Ustashism, authentic Ukrainian nationalism, Spanish Falangism and other integral movements had one worldview basis. All of them were an integral part of the conservative revolution, which proposed an alternative to the liberal-capitalist and Bolshevik systems.

Given the defeat of integral nationalism in the Second World War and purely opportunistic factors, Ukrainian nationalism abandoned its main status as integral nationalism, turning into a broad and, essentially, ideologically eclectic movement for liberation. As a result, a situation arose in which Ukrainian nationalism as an ideology stopped its development (actually fell into anabiosis) somewhere in 1943-1945. Perhaps such a step at that time had certain political advantages. But such a "temporary" (at first glance) step led to the fact that nationalism as an ideology (with the exception of a few glimpses) is still in a state of deep sleep and complete marginalization.

In the conditions of the Soviet occupation, there was no question of the development of ideology, and emigre nationalist organizations turned into a mixture of commercial structures and interest clubs, which were like fire afraid of accusations of "fascism" and put all their (at that time already weak) theoretical power into denial integral nationalism.

If we talk about the Ukraine of that time, after the suppression of the opposition to the UPA, the effective nationalist underground, which still carried the traditions of authentic nationalism, was destroyed. And the movement of dissidents, on the basis of which the Movement for Perestroika later emerged, was generally anti-nationalist in nature, rooting for Lenin's national policy. Neither the Movement nor its stillborn "descendants" in the form of "national-democratic" parties had, have, and never will have anything to do with nationalism. These are political, ideological and aesthetic followers of Dragomanovs, Vinnychenkas and other liberal-socialist rubbish.

Thus, when independence fell on Ukraine, there were no forces capable of creating a large-scale nationalist structure. Since integral nationalism was still in anabiosis, the nationalists who began to appear in Ukraine were the continuation of the diaspora's ideological dead body. This is the root of defeats and marginalization of Ukrainian nationalism. Ukrainian nationalists were supposed to switch to the position of integral nationalism immediately after obtaining statehood, but this did not happen and they ended up in the position of eternally backward and a priori incapable of victory marginals with an ideological mixture at arms.

Then all this led to more and more mistakes and defeats. Due to the fact that we did not think in the categories of ideology, but in the categories of the social movement for independence, we were immediately dragged into the liberal discourse and there were transformed into servants of the liberal-orange mainstream. If we had taken integral positions from the beginning, it is not that we were not talking about strategic alliances with the "National Democrats" and the Oranges, but they would have been the object of our fiercest attack. Today, after years of stagnation, Ukrainian nationalism must finally return to its authentic sources.

Ukrainian nationalism: medical history

Retrospectiveness means a complete focus on the past. The past is not just a vector of modern Ukrainian nationalism, it is, by and large, the only field of its real interest. This is evident, for example, in the fact that the actions of Ukrainian nationalists are almost always held on the occasion of historical dates, and the real activity of many nationalist organizations is often limited to historical research. Often, attempts to actualize the problems of the present in the minds of retro-nationalists even cause irritation, because they distract from the interesting business of copying in the historical past. This should not be confused with traditionalism, which is one of the foundations of right-wing ideology. Traditionalism presupposes the respect and durability of Tradition and the creation of new forms of life that would be a continuation of Tradition in its spirit, not in letter.

Retro-nationalism absolutizes the letter, at the same time, juggling quotes from canonized texts, fetishizing symbols, and worshiping sacralized persons, the true spirit of nationalism is lost, the inner essence is replaced by the absolutization of external attributes. Moreover, it is very important to note: Ukrainian nationalism of the past is almost always interpreted in an extremely tendentious way, some modern "nationalists" do their best to present, for example, Stciborskyi or Dontsov as almost democrats and fighters for "universal values".

In no case is it about discarding the past. Vice versa! Let us recall the Ukrainian nationalists of the 20s and 50s of the 20th century, from whom modern nationalists adopted absolutely the entire formal shell (names, symbols, songs, etc.), but not the ideological essence. Did UVO or OUN reject the historical past? No! But at the same time, they did not begin to ape their predecessors one by one, they were able to create something completely new on the basis of historical tradition. They adopted the main thing - the spirit of their ancestors and the tenacity of the Tradition, but on the basis of this they created a new ideology, methods, organization, etc. And we still live on the legacy left to us by our predecessors from the OUN, not wanting to create our own wealth, which we will pass on to our successors.

Secondaryness means lack of self-sufficiency, internal dependence and orientation towards some external object. That is, the position of modern Ukrainian nationalists very often (if not always) was formed not according to some internal logic, but only on the basis of simple antagonism in relation to an external object. If Russia is against NATO, then we should support it with both hands! If the USSR had a planned and administrative economy, then we must have a completely free market, and as soon as possible! The point here is not even so much in the essence of the questions themselves, as in their formulation and way of thinking, which is not self-sufficient and is built on the principle of repulsion - by all means, but not like that!

The traumatic experience of coexistence with Russia caused the emergence of a complex that finds its expression precisely in such secondary actions and the desire to escape anywhere, if only away from the demonic archetype of Moscow as the personification of "metaphysical evil" - the central element of retronationalism. Such an iron attachment leads to the fact that Ukrainian nationalism actually becomes a mirror image of Russian nationalism, copying all its movements exactly the opposite. Without the destruction of this binding, we are doomed to forever dance this crazy dance and cannot even dream of some kind of self-sufficiency.

Liberality means immersion of the Ukrainian right-wing movement in liberal discourse and complete marginality within its framework. Ukrainian retro-nationalism proved unable to create its own and self-sufficient socio-political discourse during the years of independence, becoming, by and large, liberalism with

strongly pronounced Ukrainian ethno-cultural and linguistic accents. Liberalism is perhaps the most dangerous disease of Ukrainian nationalism, which turns it into an uninteresting and amorphous "national democracy" expressed in liberalized ethnographic circles.

In this context, it is extremely important to note that the very word "liberalism" is generally moderately criticized by nationalists, but at the same time it is given an inadequate meaning. "Liberalism" in such cases means passivity, indecisiveness, treachery, inconsistency, that is, when a nationalist publicist criticizes liberals, he criticizes their indecision in achieving goals, but not the goals themselves. It follows that a nationalist and a liberal (from the point of view of some "nationalists") essentially have common goals, only the nationalist is more determined and consistent in achieving them. That is, it turns out something like this: the liberals promise to join NATO and the EU, but they cannot, because they are indecisive, the nationalists will come and will do it decisively, the liberals want to create a completely free market, but they cannot, the nationalists will come - they will, and then there will be a Ukrainian state! And this degenerate absurdity is a common place in "nationalist" journalism!

How unfortunate it is, but the "nationalists" themselves position themselves as "resolute liberals" and "correct, consistent Orangemen", i.e. they criticize the Orangemen not for their fundamental principles, but only for indecisiveness in achieving goals "that are common to all"! Such "positioning", in addition to premature consent to marginalization, also plays into the hands of various kinds of communists and progressive socialists, who in their propaganda portray Ukrainian nationalists as the most ardent fighters for joining NATO and the "Western way of life", a kind of "vanguard of liberalism" and Americanism in Ukraine".

Passivity is manifested in everything, from ideological attitudes to concrete methods of struggle. Jokes about "revolutionary book sellers" (invented and spread, by the way, by Ukrainian nationalists themselves) have already become textbook. But the whole irony is that in those books it is written about active methods of struggle, aggressiveness and rank for the sake of rank.

Illusion - means a reckless and naive belief that as soon as it is possible to convey to the "broad denationalized masses" some almost sacred knowledge (which, again, almost always concerns the interpretation of historical events of the past), then this will automatically lead to their (the masses') enlightenment and conversion to Ukrainian nationalism. And the situation, when this "sacred" knowledge does not lead to the expected effect, causes painful misunderstanding and confusion in the Ukrainian nationalist.

Cultivation is the reduction of the entire range of problems that need to be solved in Ukrainian society to the so-called "humanitarian" issues, and the understanding of national culture as primitive woolen weaving and collective farm creative activity.

New nationalism

The new Ukrainian nationalism must become a fundamentally new ideological integrity, namely the imperial integral nationalism of the 21st century. The path of such a transformation will not be easy, but without it, it is not possible to talk about any perspective. The new Ukrainian nationalism will stand on the following foundations:

Authoritarianism is the principle of building the state and all other social institutions. First, it is manifested in the creation of a clear hierarchical management system, where the manager bears personal responsibility for all his decisions. That is, the amount of power should be equal to the amount of responsibility for it. Secondly, authoritarianism involves the dismantling of a bankrupt and ineffective system of political democracy, which does not strengthen the strength of the nation, but, on the contrary, contributes to its comprehensive degradation. By fooling people with the illusion of political struggle, democracy is actually a cover for a system in which the ruling class consists of scoundrels, bastards, hooligans and degenerates, physical and moral freaks who only care about their personal enrichment.

Therefore, guided by the principle of authoritarianism, we will finally be able to change this shameful state by bringing to power a real national elite - spiritually and physically perfect sons and daughters of their people, whose only meaning and purpose in life is unconditional service to their nation. Only such an elite, guided by the principle of authoritarianism, is capable of leading us out of a total crisis. No democracy, a system of government of the worst, will ever be capable of this. The further "struggle" of political parties, which in reality are nothing more than offices serving the interests of oligarchs and a springboard for the most

unprincipled careerists, will only worsen the situation even more, destroying our people. Political struggle within the framework of the nation is unacceptable. The principle of political representation through political parties should be replaced by the principle of professional representation of all socially useful strata of the nation. Any political life outside the state is impossible. Political parties should be liquidated.

Great power means the desire to build not just an ordinary country, but a mighty Great Ukraine, the center of an entire civilization. Moreover, this is not just a wishful thinking of dreamers, but a necessity – if Ukraine is not great, it will not exist at all. The modern era puts us in completely new conditions. The era of national states in the traditional sense of the word is finally coming to an end. The era of civilizations is coming - regional centers of power, which will be few, but around which all political and economic power will be concentrated. Those national states whose scale does not allow them to be powerful centers of influence by themselves will simply not be able to become global players in the new conditions of a multipolar world and at best will be forced to join one of the existing ones.

These fundamentally new conditions cannot fail to affect nationalism as well, forcing it to be significantly restructured. Modern nationalism can no longer be satisfied with just the axiomatic idea of the nation in itself, as, for example, during the struggle for independence. But after gaining independence, Ukrainian nationalism essentially did not reform its pre-independence program, as if this independence did not exist. At best, Ukrainian nationalism began to advocate the development of a national state (or a "state on a national basis"), that is, in fact, it only expanded the old axiom a little. The whole problem is that in the era of continuous degradation of national states, it is impossible to try to build a national state on the model of the 19th century.

Therefore, we should hope for success if we stand on the ideological positions of the past century and stubbornly ignore what is happening around us. If we are nationalists, then we have no right to limit our thinking to the framework of the nation-state. We must remember our imperial ambitions - we are not "peace-loving Greeks" and not a people-eternal sufferer (which is exactly how some national-masochists want to present us), but a powerful warlike nation-winner. Therefore, let's remember and return to that tradition that gave us the strength to be St. Yuri the Victor among the nations.

We call this Tradition Kyiv-Russian. Only by drinking from this source, the Ukrainian idea could be filled with real content and create amazing forms of life. The largest empire of the Middle Ages, Kievan Rus, the unique phenomenon of the Ukrainian Cossacks, all more or less successful cultural forms - all this is directly related to the imperial Kievan Rus' Tradition. That is why the Russian Empire so stubbornly tried to usurp the right to this life-giving well, only thanks to which it was able to become properly "Russian" and properly "empire". At a time when Moscow exploits the Kyiv-Russian myth with all its might, for some reason we modestly stand aside and, separating from Moscow, simultaneously separate from this myth. Such a situation is a consequence of the same "secondary nature" that was mentioned above.

Geopolitical realities force us to be an empire. The vast flat space from the Urals to the Carpathians and from the Black Sea to the Baltic Sea is the field on which there is always one dominant center, which is also one of the global centers of power. At different historical stages, there were different imperial centers on this Great Space: Kyiv, Sarai, Vilna, Warsaw, Moscow, Petersburg. These were states of different natures and with different dominating ethnic groups, but they were all united by the fact that they were all the centers of the most powerful states of their time and their power was not limited to this great plain. The very nature of the Great Space is such that several approximately equal power centers cannot exist on it at the same time. There will always be one imperial center, the only question is where it will be located - in Moscow, Warsaw or Kyiv.

We must finally reject the inferiority complex imposed on us and declare our imperial ambitions at full voice, starting the struggle for the right to be an imperial metropolis. Raising the flag of the Kyiv-Russian Tradition, of which we are the only sons, will give us unprecedented advantages in the mythological war with the same Moscow - which is nothing more than our insolent swamp colony. We must knock out the trump card of the leader of the Slavic and Orthodox world from the Moscow movement, we must ourselves become the creators of the new Slavic civilization - the last bastion of Europe and the entire White race. Technically modernized Kyivan Rus is the model of New Ukraine, the most powerful civilization of New Europe. We have to stop behaving like a national minority that is favored by everyone, concerned with protecting its cultural and linguistic rights, we must become an imperial great-power nation, placing our culture at the basis of the culture of the whole civilization. Not a national minority in a "national" state, but an assimilating nation, the basis of an empire. By assimilating closely related racial groups, we will become the center of gravity not only of Eastern Europe (which today, unlike the West, is still capable of becoming the center of the European

renaissance), but also of the entire continent, which is already agonizing over the loss of its own racial and cultural face. The form of the future Ukrainian empire will, of course, be completely new. It will be something like a superpower - a civilization whose power will be based on the nature of the information it will produce. By assimilating closely related racial groups, we will become the center of gravity not only of Eastern Europe (which today, unlike the West, is still capable of becoming the center of the European renaissance), but also of the entire continent, which is already agonizing over the loss of its own racial and cultural face. The form of the future Ukrainian empire will, of course, be completely new. It will be something like a superpower - a civilization whose power will be based on the nature of the information it will produce. By assimilating closely related racial groups, we will become the center of gravity not only of Eastern Europe (which today, unlike the West, is still capable of becoming the center of the European renaissance), but also of the entire continent, which is already agonizing over the loss of its own racial and cultural face. The form of the future Ukrainian empire will, of course, be entirely new. It will be something like a superpower - a civilization whose power will be based on the nature of the information it will produce.

Racial politics consists of two aspects. First, it is a clear awareness of the catastrophic state of the White race, against which a planned war of destruction is being waged. Since the Ukrainian nation is an organic part of it, the fate of the race is also its fate. It is good to hope that in the event that all of Europe turns into a Semito-Negroid-Mongoloid substratum, our fate may be different. Secondly, since the Ukrainian nation is an assimilating nation, we must clearly define who can be assimilated and who cannot. It is obvious that we should assimilate only representatives of the White race.

The concepts of state and nation must coincide. Fundamentally foreign areas cannot exist in the national organism. Everything that can be assimilated must be assimilated. Everything else must be rejected from the nation-state. A nation is a homogeneous community that is based on a biological basis - race, and cultural, linguistic, and mental characteristics that distinguish it from other nations of the same race. Accordingly, we cannot talk about any "national minorities" within the framework of a single nation. If it is an inter-racial element, then it simply cannot exist in this life space.

Anti-oligarchism is an awareness of the need to dismantle the economic system of oligarchic financial capitalism, which exists in connection with the political system of parliamentary democracy. All the resources of our country are under the control of a bunch of barigs of non-Ukrainian origin, who, parasitizing on the body of our nation, receive their excess profits. At the same time, to cover up this state of affairs and blind the eyes of our people, they created a screen called democracy. Having turned into a closed execution, the political and economic elite (which in the absolute majority consists of degenerates) divided into parties that seem to be fighting each other. In fact, all of them are a single cesspool that exists for the same money and whose only purpose in life is to get even more money in their pockets.

The oligarchic system leads to a situation where the state, its wealth and its people are ruled by anti-Ukrainian bastards who regard us only as living material from which resources can be extracted. The system of parasitism must be replaced. We must create a superpower state that will control most of the large industries and strategic sectors of the economy. The branches of production will be united in production syndicates, where a compromise will be found between private employers, workers and the state. Only an economically powerful state, supported by its nation, will be able to carry out large-scale nationalization of looted property, destroy the oligarchic system and free itself from the dictates of transnational corporations. This is the only way to establish true justice and order.

Aryan social system . The construction of a social order that would be based on the Aryan principles of the social system, that is, the division of the nation not into warring classes, but into socially useful strata: producers of spiritual values (Brahmins), managers and soldiers, civil servants (Kshatriyas) and producers of material values (Vaishas ate). Each of these layers (belonging to which would depend on a person's personal inclinations) would perform its own, no less important than others, function. Only such a system guarantees the possibility of the emergence of a harmonious and just society.

Comprehension of the essence of national culture consists in the interpretation of national culture as the living space of the nation, awareness of the thousand-year durability of national culture and its inexhaustible power.

Continuation of the tradition of integral nationalism. The hysterical denial of any association with integral-nationalist movements, so characteristic of many modern "nationalists", is actually not the key to the

legitimization of nationalism (which is exactly how it appears to them), but the way to its final de-actualization and liberalization. In this way, the ideological roots of Ukrainian nationalism are cut off, it is pulled out of the life-giving context, only within which it can fully express itself.

Attempts to artificially present Ukrainian nationalism as a closed and cut off phenomenon from the world, which allegedly had nothing in common with other similar movements in other countries, cannot withstand any criticism. Without a proper understanding of the ideological heritage of the 20s and 30s of the 20th century. we will not succeed in creating a new, life-giving idea of nationalism. At the same time, we are talking not only about Ukrainian nationalism (although it is primarily about it), but also about all integral movements of those times.

It is necessary to realize that the victory of the nationalist revolution in one Ukraine will still not allow the full implementation of the program immediately. The program will be able to be fully implemented when Ukraine becomes the vanguard of the New Europe, which will emerge from the ruins of liberal decadence. And this is possible when nationalist revolutions will take place in a number of powerful European countries, when their combined political and economic weight will not allow to destroy the seeds of the New Europe from the outside.

But the Second Wave of the Conservative Revolution will not happen unless the first wave of the Conservative Revolution is anathema. Until there is a radical reassessment of the role of integral-nationalist regimes in the first half of the 20th century, until then the whole right-wing Europe will be cut off from its roots and will rot on the lures of the liberal metanarrative.

The key point of this process is the redemonization of National Socialism. This movement, while having many flaws and being quite eclectic, is clearly the largest of all the integral movements of the time of the first wave of the Conservative revolution, and that is why it became the first object of unprecedented historical falsification on the part of the victors in the second world war, which was, in fact, a war of three ideologies - integral nationalism, communism and liberalism (it is worth noting how easily "such different" communists and liberals united to fight against nationalism - this is another evidence that communism and liberalism have the same metaphysical basis and are oriented towards the same goals, differing only in methods). Without the redemonization of National Socialism (which should take place along the lines of historical revisionism, which has already become a coherent scientific school, despite the frantic pressure from the liberal system) there is no removal of the anathema from the First Wave of the Conservative Revolution, and without it there is no New Europe and the revival of the White race. That is, there is no Great Ukraine.

It is clear that it will not be done quickly, because the brainwashing was done too seriously and for a long time. But a gradual step is necessary. Moreover, all that is needed is a true coverage of these movements, their essence, while not avoiding their mistakes and miscalculations. You don't need to decorate them too much, or get too excited about them. But it is necessary to simply and honestly point out the positives that were in the same National Socialism, compare them with the negatives and draw a line. And step by step spread the truth, free consciousness from absurdity and lies. The one who calls himself both "nationalist" and "anti-fascist" plays into the hands of liberals and delays the moment of the beginning of the Nationalist Revolution. If you don't feel like telling the truth, then you should at least keep silent, and not embarrass yourself by pandering to liberals.

New Nationalism (or, as we call it - Social-Nationalism) replaces retrospectivity, secondaryness, liberalism, passivity, illusoryness, and culturalism with authoritarianism, great power, White racial politics, anti-oligarchism, Aryan social order, understanding the essence of national culture, and the tradition of integral nationalism .

Political spectrum

To complete the picture, it is necessary to review the political spectrum of our country. It can be safely divided into ideological and non-ideological dimensions. Non-ideological includes the entire political mainstream of the current republic: parliamentary parties and parties on the verge of transition to it. As it has been said more than once above, all these structures have no ideological basis and do not go there for the sake of defending high ideals. These are simply banal offices serving the interests of various oligarchic clans, and no one particularly hides this. If there are any slogans or primitive demagogy (which is passed off as ideology), it is only so that this office can be distinguished from another in the mass consciousness. Other than that, there is no difference between them.

The ideological dimension of our political spectrum consists of a wide variety of political marginals, that is, those who have no place in today's political mainstream. Here we see a real ideological struggle and stubbornness. This mostly street, underground dimension of politics has been taken over by right and left radicals, who, as a rule, do not have any serious material base behind them. Their political activity is conducted on bare enthusiasm, and their goals are, as always, global.

We can say with confidence that the passionate part of our society is concentrated in radical political groups (passion can be both positive and negative), which is not satisfied with the current system and the way the elite is formed. This is the part of society that is ready to lay down its life for a certain great goal for the sake of only idealistic beliefs. Passionate people are those who act as harbingers and the first incarnates of great social changes, they are those who drag others along. Ukrainian nationalists also belong to this dimension of politics. Although, not all who call themselves Ukrainian nationalists are passionate. Only those who have already switched or are gradually switching to the positions of new Ukrainian nationalism belong to the latter.

Today, the marginal dimension of Ukrainian politics is very narrow, but it has a tendency to expand. At a certain moment, it will supplant the non-ideological dimension, turning into the only real field of political struggle, and today's mainstream, having finally lost its conceptuality, will disappear very quickly. Therefore, of course, we are more interested in the field in which we are located and which will eventually become the main one. There is no need to spend time analyzing the mainstream - it makes no difference which of the non-Ukrainian oligarchic clans will have a controlling stake in power. Nothing will change significantly in our life.

So who makes up this very marginal dimension of politics? Let's start with **the ultra-left** . These are various kinds of anarcho-communists, environmentalists of the left wing, antifa, etc. In the context of this article, all of them are interesting for us in two aspects. First, it is a break between the "new left" and the "old", i.e. "canonical" communists, progressives and just socialists. Secondly, it is a matter of "Ukrainization" of the "new left" and an attempt to introduce the concept of "national-antifa" into the Ukrainian political discourse.

With the first question, everything is more or less clear. The attempt of the "new left" to imitate Western leftist movements requires breaking off not only cooperation, but even associations with the "old left", which have nothing to do with modern leftism. In the article "Notes on the Ukrainian right-wing movement" we tried to prove that the PSPU, which is considered "ultra-left", is in fact a right-wing party that stands on the positions of Russian and Soviet nationalism. By and large, only "self-government" should be added to the PSPU ideological bouquet, because "Orthodoxy" and "narodnost" have organically taken root there for a long time. There is nothing surprising in the fact that the "new leftists" want to distance themselves from the Soviet conservatives with all their might.

The second question is both more interesting and more difficult. The so-called "Ukrainization" of young left-wing movements is, in fact, one of the most serious threats to the right-wing movement. The fact that the right-wing narrowed its discourse to a few issues - language, historical justice and Russian imperialism, gave the left an opportunity to even partially paralyze the already painful right-wing movement. Mostly due to the efforts to find problem areas that would give an opportunity to show their difference from the "old left", the "new left" entered the traditional field of the right. And this caused cognitive dissonance in many "nationally conscious" people. Young leftists have switched to the Ukrainian language (at least publicly), recognize the UPA as fighters against totalitarianism and criticize all imperialism, including Russian. And many "nationally conscious", seeing this, conclude: since so,

But such a conclusion is extremely short-sighted, as it leads to the fact that the Ukrainian right-wing movement finally declines, and even slides to the left. Such conclusions logically follow from previous ones, when some rightists said: the Communist Party of Ukraine is bad because they are non-Ukrainian leftists, but there will be Ukrainian leftists (that is, who speak Ukrainian?) and they will be good. Such considerations are surprising for their superficiality.

Leftism is always the degradation and ideology of the Untermanche and it makes no difference what language its adherents speak. The fumigated Parisian students of the model of 1968, whom the "Ukrainian leftists" copy, carried ugliness and degeneration, destroying the last bastions of healthy ideas in Europe. It was the generation of those who shouted "it is forbidden to ban" that finally transformed the once majestic Europe into the left-liberal European Union, this instrument of destruction of the indigenous peoples of the continent. And how can we consider those who admire these slogans, who continue to destroy everything healthy and

great as our friends? What difference does it make what language they speak? Are they opposed to all imperialism? That is, they oppose us - Ukrainian imperialists and fighters for great power. They are for the emancipation of the individual, total freedom, etc. - and we are for discipline, hierarchy, order, they are for a "free individual" (a degenerate degenerate-pervert), and we are for a Man - a bearer of great values, a man of will and strength. They are against racism (that is, for the destruction of the White race and the transformation of Europe into a racial cesspool), and we are for the struggle of all White nations to preserve their own lives. "National Antifa" is an attempt to turn the right-wing movement into a degraded left-wing movement with corresponding consequences.

Other residents of the political fringes are **ultra-right Hitlerophiles (Germanophiles)** . Mostly, these are young people who belong to subcultural youth circles. Their positive aspects include readiness for direct action and a certain ideological kinship with New Nationalism (Social-Nationalism). The disadvantages include: often pathological anti-partyism and anarchy, rejection and fear of any organization and order, a generally low level of ideological training and political literacy, limited by the framework of the youth subculture. This very subcultural nature of this environment does not allow it to develop and become a real force, to reach a higher level.

If we talk about ideology, then here we can hardly talk about it as such. Rather, we may be talking about the fetishization of the external attributes of German National Socialism, leading to its blind idealization. And often (although mostly subconsciously) it turns into a tool of outrage, fascination with form, which is almost always combined with a weak understanding of the content and, even more so, the purpose of one's activity. There is a point of view that suggests that the subcultural-anarchic nature of far-right youth movements in post-war Europe was deliberately programmed so that passionate youth inclined to right-wing ideas would "let off steam" in acceptable forms, be marginalized and could not pose a real threat to the liberal regime. Therefore, far-right Germanophiles cannot be perceived as a force that will be able to organize themselves into a serious movement from within.

Some conclusions

The new Social-Nationalism must begin with a final and complete break with liberalism. Already dead, but still inertially present in the Ukrainian discourse, the construct called "national democracy" must be destroyed completely, mercilessly and quickly. Today, we must strike with all our might against this low-quality ideological surrogate, which prevents (and will prevent! - until we destroy it) the Ukrainian right-wing movement from becoming truly massive and relevant.

In the conditions of the post-colonial development of Ukraine, "national democracy" acted as a substitute for right-wing ideology in Ukraine, much like chewing gum replaces the taste of real fresh fruit. Due to the weakness of nationalism and its, by and large, integration into the general "national-democratic" context, that part of society, which could and can be our base, became the electoral field on which the "national-democrats" grazed, from the very beginning full of con "junkturniks, former hebists, komsyuks and other rabble, which in time was repainted in yellow-blue colors.

"National democracy" (as well as "Orangeism" - the ideological successor of national democracy) is not a political ideology. This is a combination of post-imperial complexes, liberal motives and Ukrainian culture. This is nothing more than non-alcoholic beer from politics. This is a vivid example of what Dontsov characterized as "Provencalism", with all its attributes. Moreover, history repeats itself: Ukrainian nationalism of the 30s-50s of the 20th century. began precisely with criticism and the final break with Provençalism, today the new Ukrainian nationalism will not take place if it does not step over the corpse of "national democracy". The New Ukrainian Nationalism must methodically destroy all kinds of associations with "national democracy", "Orange" and liberalism, fundamentally position itself as an anti-liberal force.

The Social-Nationalist movement should set itself the goal of building a Great Ukraine, which will become the vanguard of the second wave of the Conservative revolution and become the star of the New Aryan Order. For this, it is necessary to carry out a Nationalist revolution, the harbingers and creators of which will be new people - the Heroes of the new age, the future elite of Great Ukraine, whose goal will be to raise the entire nation to its level, in fact to create a new anthropological type of man - the creator of a new era. Ukrainian Social-Nationalism can become a great movement only by setting such goals. Only those who set grandiose tasks before themselves left a mark in history and could change its course.

Political democracy. The view of Social Nationalism

The absolute majority of political parties in Ukraine (among the more or less influential - all) proclaim the slogans of democratic values and the construction of democracy (a democratic society). This is not surprising, because all the mass media impose the same opinion, form a certain stereotype: democracy, democracy - definitely good, humane and civilized; anti-democracy is definitely bad, criminal, barbaric. However, despite the wide spread of this stereotype, most people have a critical attitude towards the content of democracy, even though the very word "democracy" evokes positive associations. Despite their ideological views, many political forces (especially in the 20th century) declared themselves democratic. Even wars of aggression took place under the slogans and in the name of democracy. The US attack on Iraq, Afghanistan, Vietnam and other countries - all in the name of liberal democratic values, all for the development of democracy. No wonder - even Napoleon conducted his wars of aggression under the slogans of liberation and in the name of the values of the revolution (freedom, equality, fraternity). Elections of the Communist Party in the USSR are democracy. "Perestroika" means democracy. Power in modern Ukraine is democratic. Lenin's party is also democratic. Regardless of the attitude towards democracy, one thing is undeniable: democracies are a stereotype, a weapon of political propaganda. Building a "real" democracy is the second issue. But, undoubtedly, democracy, first of all, is a stereotype. Elections of the Communist Party in the USSR are democracy. "Perestroika" means democracy. Power in modern Ukraine is democratic. Lenin's party is also democratic. Regardless of the attitude towards democracy, one thing is undeniable: democracies are a stereotype, a weapon of political propaganda. Building a "real" democracy is the second issue. But, undoubtedly, democracy, first of all, is a stereotype. Elections of the Communist Party in the USSR are democracy. "Perestroika" means democracy. Power in modern Ukraine is democratic. Lenin's party is also democratic. Regardless of the attitude towards democracy, one thing is undeniable: democracies are a stereotype, a weapon of political propaganda. Building a "real" democracy is the second issue. But, undoubtedly, democracy, first of all, is a stereotype.

What is democracy really? What is democracy as a political system? Modern democracy is very far, for example, from the "democracy" of Ancient Greece, and its roots should not be sought there - the elements of democracy (which, by the way, are present in totalitarianism) are very different from democracy as a political system. The beginning of the life of modern democracy, as a political system, should obviously be counted from the great French revolution. The political system of democracy begins its life together with capitalism, as an economic system, and is its political design. The political system is democracy; economic - capitalism. Democracy and capitalism are inextricably linked, even their separate existence is problematic.

In the conditions of a democracy, the political rights and influence of a certain social group are always in simple proportional dependence to its economic strength. Therefore, democracy is always an oligarchy. It has long been known that the capitalist system allows you to get rich economically (and become an oligarch) only in the complete absence of conscience and morality: paying the workers of the enterprise as much as they actually earned - you will not get very rich. Demanding the maximum is the way to capitalist enrichment. Expecting prosperity from an oligarchy-democracy is a futile matter. Under the conditions of democracy, a citizen is formally free, but in reality he is a slave of the capitalist system led by the oligarchs.

One can hear opinions about the alleged usefulness of parliamentary democracy, or that parliamentarism is an absolutely necessary institution. Failure to trust him would deal a tangible blow to the entire democracy. However, a detailed and unbiased examination of parliamentarism can lead to only one conclusion - "irresponsibility and incompetence." People who have completely different professions (and often none at all) sit in the parliament and specialize in different areas. At the same time, when making a certain decision, for example, in an economic issue, the voice of a lawyer is equal to the voice of an economist, accordingly, in a legal issue, the voice of an economist is equal to the voice of a lawyer. Complete absurdity! After all, relevant scientific research in the field of decision-making has proven beyond doubt: decision-making by a group, in the absence of hierarchy, is a completely unproductive process, and making collegial decisions always leads to irresponsibility. Who is responsible for possible negative consequences? Answer to no one. Collective responsibility is always collective irresponsibility.

"Elections by popular vote - the main value of democracy - an indicator of the will of the people" - this

cornerstone axiom of political democracy does not withstand any criticism. At any time, in any state, there is a direct proportion between the popularity of a politician (political party) and the campaigning and propaganda work carried out. Who can spend more money on propaganda? Of course, oligarchs are people with "specific" moral qualities. What will they use their political influence for? For own economic enrichment. Political elections are a fiction, there is no arguing with that.

There are various democrats in modern Ukraine: social democrats, national democrats, liberal democrats and others. But all the difference is only in slogans and names. The point is one. Different "democracies" are different oligarchic clans. These clans do not really compete with each other, but only pretend to be competitors, actually working (rather robbing) together. Morality (or rather anti-morality) is the same. Nationality is the same. Only slogans and names are different. Real anti-Ukrainianism, sometimes without a formal one. A real threat to the Nation, Ukrainians. A real threat to us!

Our alternative, which we offer to our own people, is Nationalocracy. The names may be different, but the essence should remain the same. The priority of the interests of the whole over the whims of individuals. Everything for the Nation - nothing against it! The authorities are authoritative and responsible! Personal responsibility for decisions made and actions taken! Expansion of rights with simultaneous expansion of duties and limits of responsibility. In contrast to liberal freedoms - discipline and order. In the economic sphere - social justice. The reward is proportional to the work.

We, Ukrainian social-nationalists, are obliged to bury political democracy, as a servant of the economic system of capitalism and the power of oligarchs-parasites. And in its place, establish a fair political system of the Nationocracy, which will express the interests of the People and each of its representatives. Let the ticket to power not be the amount of looted money, but only morality and altruism, professionalism and responsibility!

Oleg Odnorozhenko

The Social-Nationalist movement and its main tasks

Without risking falling into exaggeration, we can clearly state that modern Ukrainian nationalism is in a deep systemic crisis. The latter touched all spheres of this once powerful and inherently revolutionary political movement. And first of all – ideological, worldview and organizational. The reasons for this state of affairs are multifaceted, but several main ones can be singled out among them.

Let's start with the fact that the very logic of historical development on a global scale pushes nationalist movements to mutation and adaptation. The total dominance of liberal ideology, the political system based on democracy and the economic system of financial capitalism force the nationalism of almost all European countries to succumb to the pressure of this all-conquering triad. And modern Ukrainian nationalism is no exception to this.

He does not look for ways of resistance and does not build his own coordinate system, but only tries to occupy a certain convenient niche, does not utter revolutionary slogans - he is only concerned with the prospect of giving the demo-liberal system a certain national color (a kind of democracy with a human face), is not concerned with his own political individuality - instead, he is trapped in the rearguard of liberal national democracy. There is an objective explanation for this in the historical past, but there is no justification in the current situation.

Traditional nationalism, as we know it today, developed in the process of adapting the ideology of the OUN and its organizational forms to the conditions of diaspora life, which crushed and enslaved all its essential features, forcing it to come to terms with the post-war realities of demo-liberal totalitarianism in Western countries. This emasculated nationalism was superimposed on the common tasks and slogans of the Ukrainian democratic and nationalist movements during the struggle for independence, which in turn determined the ideological, organizational and moral dependence of the latter on the former.

Ukrainian nationalism itself has long ceased to exist as a separate, self-sufficient worldview. Rather, it is an eclectic mixture of disparate attempts to reconcile nationalist postulates with the realities of the ruling System,

attempts to exploit certain secondary stereotypes of certain regional and social population groups, and, finally, hybrid creations of one's own self-censorship. Traditional nationalism is no longer able to call a spade a spade and take responsibility for the fate of an entire Nation. On the agenda of the day, more "important" tasks are to gain and secure for themselves, in a fierce struggle with stagnant national democracy, albeit a small one, but their own electoral base, which should ensure a certain presence on the political field.

The latter implies the organizational failure of nationalist parties and groups. Each of them is only a virtual project without dedicated fighters and born commanders. Their blood and bones are not ideological altruists and marching columns, but more or less successful PR technologists and party functionaries - political avatars of office workers of large capitalist corporations. Accordingly, the task of such groups is not to lead the masses to a revolutionary breakdown, but only to grab their piece of the electoral pie by manipulating and speculating on the stereotypes of the crowd. Over-tasking, idealism, sacrifice and frankness can only frighten these figures.

In essence, a nationalist party or group is no different from similar formations of the demo-liberals or the left. The only difference is that everyone grazes on his electoral field. The methods of working with the "pasture" are the same for everyone, the goals are also the same, and everyone likes parliamentarism, democracy and capitalism. In short, the activists of all these "ideological" parties do not believe in their ideas at all, instead, they believe that by exploiting a certain, and rather limited, set of primitive stereotypes, with the corresponding ideological coloring, it is possible to win a place under the political sun of the current System. Politics in the conditions of the demo-liberal system has thus been reduced to absurd forms of cynicism. And if the lack of reaction on the part of the left or liberals is clear (the current order fully corresponds to their class and materialistic worldview), then there can be no excuses for nationalism: the System and real (not virtual) Nationalism are not capable of coexistence. In order to properly understand this, it is necessary to adequately assess the essence of the System and its ultimate goals.

The essence of the existing System consists in complete control over a person, both physically and spiritually. The system seeks to totally destroy originality and self-sufficiency both at the individual (personal) and collective (family, social, religious, ethnic, racial) levels. The System tries to achieve its goals with the help of manipulative technologies that create the illusion of freedom of choice in a person, which is actually absent in the political, social, and cultural spheres. The institution of parliamentarism and political parties is actually completely dependent on the financial factor (due to uneven financing of election campaigns and subordination of mass media to speculative capital), which is under the full control of the System.

The social condition of a person in the modern world is fully dependent on the acquired narrowly specialized education, which reduces the position of a person to the level of a cog in the global mechanism of capital accumulation by the plutocratic top of the System. The ideology of consumption imposed by the System aims to establish a similar state of affairs, which leaves a person with only two things - the fulfillment of his narrowly functional duties and consumer egoism.

In the cultural sphere, the System imposes a set of ideas, views, and tastes favorable to it, providing financial support to post-Western currents in literature, cinematography, and art that promote despair, decay, selfishness, and perversion as the highest virtues. At the same time, all real cultural achievements of the past and present are cut off from the broad masses with the help of economic levers.

Under the conditions of the System, history becomes virtual and is completely edited. All idealistic, heroic and altruistic motives are subject to removal. Deconstruction is applied to all events, phenomena and persons that remind of the possibility of an alternative to the existing System. The latter fully uses the method of subjectivism, falsification and direct sex. Modern man consumes a large amount of information, but does not receive real knowledge in any life-important area.

The system works to destroy all forms of solidarity and natural social and national communities. National, racial, religious feelings, as well as the institution of the family, will come under the attack of the System. Complete egoization and social atomization of individual human individuals is a necessary prerequisite for the System to establish its complete domination. Only by destroying the White race, its nations and religions, as well as the institution of the family, will the System be able to feel safe. Therefore, compromises with this omnipotent force are impossible. Nationalism and the System are absolute antipodes. And the final result of this latest round of the struggle between Good and Evil will depend only on whether Nationalism will be able to become itself and oppose itself to the New World Order.

In view of the above, we must frankly admit: traditional nationalism, as we know it today in the performance of most European parties, is completely helpless in the face of this new global threat, it has nothing to oppose to the challenges of modernity, it is incapable neither theoretically nor, even more so, practically, solve any pressing problem. The only thing that feeds this virtual project is the staging of violent activity around issues that either do not have any importance today, or are clearly secondary. The cultural-linguistic priority and anti-left rhetoric of traditional nationalists is nothing more than ordinary eye candy.

The path of traditional nationalism is a path to nowhere, both for himself and for the White Race and the Ukrainian Nation. Only the blind cannot see that in the System's undeclared war against the White Man, the latter is on the brink, on the other side of which is complete degradation and biological death. To break this apocalyptic scenario, which, by the way, has already been openly proclaimed by our enemies (at the anniversary session of the UN General Assembly dedicated to its 60th anniversary, then Secretary General Kofi Annan said that the White Race has already fulfilled its historic mission and its only task quietly and peacefully withdraw from the arena of world history), only a new Social-Nationalist movement can, which will not be afraid to call a spade a spade and enter into direct confrontation with the System.

The starting point of our Struggle is the creation of a new type of nationalist Organization, regardless of traditional cultural-linguistic nationalism and its demo-liberal orientations. Our Nationalism is racial, social, great-power-imperial, anti-systemic (anti-democratic and anti-capitalist), self-sufficient, militant and uncompromising. Ukrainian Social-Nationalism builds its ideology on maximalism, national-racial egoism, love for one's own, intolerance of the enemy, and activism capable of being an iron battering ram for crushing other people's power, which wants to stand in the way of the Ukrainian Nation and the White Race.

The creation and promotion of our views, as well as the choice of means of our activity, takes place without regard to "universal values", the principles of democracy, pacifism, tolerance and political correctness. The only criterion for the expediency of our views and actions is their ability to ensure the unceasing and boundless development of the Ukrainian Nation. All that is good, which is good for the good, strength and development of Our Nation; all the evil that weakens this strength and development is the main commandment of the ideology of Ukrainian Nationalism, which we once again raise on the shield. The organization denies anyone the right to stand in the way of our desire to protect the vital interests of the Ukrainian Nation and the White Race.

Our organizational structure is based on the principle of affinity. Everyone's place is determined according to individual abilities and preferences, as well as to the amount of responsibility that one or another member of the Organization is able to take on. At the same time, every member of the Organization must be morally, intellectually and physically ready to fulfill his National duty in any field. Fundamental in the process of educating members of the Organization is the hardening of spiritual and willpower qualities. Each member of the Organization has a sense of National-Racial dignity, solidarity, idealism and altruism, the ability to sacrifice and self-discipline.

The primary task of all members and the Organization as a whole is to spread our views as much as possible. Only massive support of our ideas from the broad working classes of the Ukrainian Nation can provide reliable prospects for the Social-Nationalist movement, which will acquire real outlines through the creation of a network of social-nationalist structures (the party, trade union and public organizations, organizations of direct action and self-defense).

Given the parasitic-aggressive nature of the demo-liberal regime of the foreign plutocracy, it is difficult for Ukrainians to hope for a peaceful nature of the Liberation Struggle. Accordingly, the Organization does not consider it expedient to coordinate its activities with the principles of parliamentarism (although we do not reject the possibility, under a certain coincidence of circumstances, of a parliamentary way of coming to power). We do not see ourselves as an oppositional force, only as Anti-System. We will not be satisfied with a partial change of the System, only its complete elimination. We are outside the System and we are fighting against it from the outside until its complete destruction.

The Ukrainian National Revolution (whether peaceful or armed) must take place as a response of the Ukrainian Nation to the challenge of global speculative capital, which denies the Ukrainian Nation the right to exist. The legitimacy of the Ukrainian National Revolution is ensured by our natural right to life and development. We do not accept any objections, persuasions and "compromise" offers from the enemy, who must suffer a just and fully severe punishment for centuries of plunder and parasitism. International-Zionist

speculative capital and its minions must be eradicated once and for all from the Holy Ukrainian Land - without any exceptions or concessions.

After the victory and elimination of the dominance of the System in Ukraine, for a certain period, the negative consequences of age-old enslavement will manifest themselves in various spheres of spiritual and social life, which will require the use of appropriate healing means. For this, Social-Nationalism includes far-reaching state-building measures in the program of the National Revolution, which will be able to eliminate the weakening of the Nation's organism, shaken by the occupation regime of the System. The universal essence, activism and idealistic morality of Ukrainian Social-Nationalism not only put it in the forefront of the struggle for the Will of the Nation, but also give it the monopoly right to carry out constructive reform and order the life of the Nation.

The primary measure of the National Revolution will be the complete elimination of all parasitic layers, namely, speculative capital, professional politicians (criminal-political clans - party leaders), criminal groups, and anti-social elements. The entire economic system of capitalism is subject to total dismantling, as it ensures the plundering of the working classes of the population by groups of economic and political parasites. Also, all institutions and forms of political democracy, as a political system that serves the economic system of capitalism, are eliminated.

All foreign ethno-racial groups will be subject to restriction and control, with their subsequent deportation to their historical homelands. Based on the fact that we, Ukrainian social-nationalists, consider the so-called "human races" as separate biological species, and we consider only the White European Man to be an intelligent person (Homo Sapiens) in the biological sense (without including in this concept the so-called " southern Europeans" - Mediterranean, Caucasian, Pamir-Fergan and other races, which in biological terms are also a biological species different from us), we consider it our direct duty to make impossible any inter-racial (inter-species) contacts that lead to inter-racial (interspecies) mixture, and ultimately - to the extinction of the White Man. Any promotion of interracial contact, just like the promotion of sexual perversion,

Social renewal will involve large-scale lustration with severe punishment of servants of the System and agents of influence of forces hostile to Ukraine. All property of individuals and financial and industrial groups, which was acquired as a result of the robbery of the Ukrainian People during the initial accumulation of capital by criminal clan groups, is subject to confiscation in favor of the People's State.

The state takes under its full control all mass media without exception, carries out the nationalization of all large and strategic enterprises and branches of the national economy. All structures of transnational corporations, international organizations and financial institutions are subject to total liquidation. At the same time, there will be an immediate withdrawal of all military contingents of foreign countries and military-political blocs from the territory of Ukraine.

In order to protect the Independence of the Ukrainian State during the National Revolution, a full mobilization of the People will be carried out, general military conscription will be introduced for the entire adult population of the country, compulsory military training courses will be introduced in schools and universities. All spheres of social life and national economy will be subject to militarization.

As a result of the health measures of the Ukrainian National Revolution in the Ukrainian State, a political, social and economic system based on the principles of the Nationalocracy is established, which should ensure the comprehensive and maximum development of the Ukrainian Nation and its individual representatives, as well as prepare Ukraine for further expansion and the struggle for the liberation of the entire White Race from - under the rule of global speculative capital.

Natsiocracy is the power of the Nation in its own State, which is based on the organized and solidary cooperation of all socially useful strata, united - in accordance with their social and professional and productive functions - in representative bodies of state administration. The main principles of Nationocracy are National solidarity (superclassism and anti-partisanship), authoritarianism (personal responsibility of leaders at all levels for their actions), high-quality social hierarchy and discipline, social control, self-organization and self-government.

National democracy in political terms is a system in which political power belongs entirely to the Ukrainian Nation and its most talented, idealistic and nationally altruistic representatives, who are able to ensure the proper development of the Nation and its competitiveness. Accordingly, the personnel policy of the National

State and the formation of its elite - political, scientific, cultural, military and economic - are carried out. The Ukrainian State maximally promotes the individual development of every Ukrainian person and his creative abilities, and also encourages all forms of self-organization within the framework of individual professional groups and territorial communities. At the same time, the existence of political parties, groups, organizations and ideological groups is prohibited in the Ukrainian State.

The principle of direct interdependence of rights and duties is implemented at all state and social levels. The full range of rights in the Ukrainian state are available only to those Ukrainians who fulfill their civic and national duties with dignity and in full. A citizen of the Ukrainian State can only be an ethnic Ukrainian without any foreign admixture, who performs socially useful work in accordance with his professional duties. People belonging to the White Race, but of non-Ukrainian origin, are granted the right of citizenship with the preservation of all rights, except for political ones. The latter can be awarded in special cases for exceptional services to the Ukrainian Nation. The non-white population does not have the right to stay on the territory of Ukraine.

The Ukrainian State considers work as an ethical and social duty to the Nation, and citizens as creators of spiritual and material values. The ownership of all material assets belongs to the Nation and its working classes. All social strata of the Nation unite in professional organizations, membership of which ensures civil rights. All types of physical and intellectual labor have social value and are worthy of respect when they serve the interests of the Nation. With this in mind, the Nationocracy implements the principles of justice and parity in the payment of physical and intellectual work. Any socially useful work is evaluated by its quality, and not by the so-called "prestige". Conscientious performance of one's duties guarantees a dignified and financially secure life for a person and his family.

The institution of the family is of exceptional importance for the Ukrainian State. The state promotes the economic self-sufficiency of Ukrainian families, and also supports a high birth rate among Ukrainians by all means. However, the right to give birth to a child can only be given to healthy people. Mixed race marriages and relationships are prohibited. Racial hygiene, national-racial education and health care of every Ukrainian is under the full and proper care of the State.

Having passed the period of formation and strengthening, the Ukrainian State will inevitably enter into a confrontation with the forces of global speculative capital, the final victory over which will be possible only on a global scale. In this struggle, our support is the racial solidarity of the White Aryan peoples. Our natural ally is the non-parasitic working class of the White nations. Ukraine acts as a locomotive in the worldwide movement of White peoples for their liberation. We provide the most extensive support in the preparation and implementation of national revolutions in the White countries of Europe, America, South Africa and Australia.

In parallel with this, Ukraine conducts an active foreign policy, the goal of which is the creation of the Ukrainian Empire. All Ukrainian ethno-racial territories in Europe and North Asia, as well as the state formations of peoples closely related to Ukrainians racially and culturally, allied to Ukraine, are subject to direct inclusion in the Ukrainian Superpower. Next, Ukraine, as a core Superpower-Empire, unites the independent states of the White peoples in the Aryan confederation.

After the establishment of the rule of the White race on the territory of its residence, the Aryan confederation takes a number of measures to restore its political and economic dominance in countries with a non-white population. Such measures are objectively determined by the vital need to prevent revenge from transnational speculative capital. Ukrainians and the entire White Race must not forget for a moment that We will either win or disappear. Our enemies have left us no other choice, and it is only in our power to give them a worthy response.

Project of the Program of the "Patriot of Ukraine" Organization

The "Patriot of Ukraine" organization advocates a monoracial mononational society built on the principles of Ukrainian national greatness and social justice.

The sacred symbol of Our Nation and Organization – the Trident – symbolizes the trinity of our over-tasks in the Global, National-Racial and Individual spheres:

I. Modern "civilized" humanity barbarically plunders and destroys the planet. At the same time, the exploitation of natural resources does not serve the achievement of high goals at all, only the senseless accumulation of material values. With this attitude towards the planet, a global catastrophe awaits us. Only the Power that will be able to impose its own Will on the entire population of the planet, and which will be guided in its actions by Morality - using its own rule for the benefit of all humanity and the planet, can stop the modern practice. Only a community of White people under the leadership of the Ukrainian Nation can be such a Force. Only We, taking the helm of the planet, will be able to limit access to the bowels of the Earth and stop their robbery and use the resources of the planet to achieve Harmony and Justice.

II. The European Race is the creator of human civilization and culture. Everything higher, valuable and best on our planet is connected with the White Man. However, now the European is on the verge of biological destruction. A well-planned war is being waged against the White Race on the physical, spiritual, cultural, and civilizational levels. Throughout its history, Ukraine has been the vanguard of White Civilization. Now is the time to fulfill your main purpose - to become not a shield but a sword of White Europe, to save the White Man from extinction, to create new Ideals, to become a new Sun that will illuminate the European Nations.

III. The harmony of the world can be created only by a higher Civilization. The Ukrainian Nation is capable of restoring the development of the White Civilization. The latter will be possible only under the condition of comprehensive and maximum development of the Ukrainian Man, who will become a Man of a new model - perfect physically, intellectually and spiritually.

The implementation of these additional tasks will become possible after the implementation of the following program principles of our Organization:

Political system:

1. Organized Ukrainian Nationalism competes for the creation of the political, social and economic system of the Ukrainian State based on the principles of Nationalocracy, which should ensure comprehensive and maximum development of the Ukrainian Nation and all its representatives.

2. Natsiocracy is the power of the Nation in its own State, which is based on the organized and solidary cooperation of all socially useful strata, united - in accordance with their social and professional and productive functions - in representative bodies of state administration.

3. The main principles of Natsiocracy are national solidarity (superclassism and anti-partisanship), authoritarianism (personal responsibility of leaders at all levels for their actions), high-quality social hierarchy and discipline, social control, self-organization and self-government.

4. Nationocracy in political terms is a system in which political power belongs entirely to the Ukrainian Nation through its most talented, idealistic and nationally altruistic representatives, who are able to ensure the proper development of the Nation and its competitiveness.

5. The Ukrainian State promotes the individual development of every Ukrainian person and his creative abilities as much as possible, and also encourages all forms of self-organization within the framework of professional groups and territorial communities. At the same time, the existence of political parties, groups, organizations and ideological groups is prohibited in the Ukrainian State.

6. The principle of direct interdependence of rights and duties is implemented at all state and social levels. Failure to fulfill obligations to the Nation and the State entails the restriction of the citizen's rights or deprivation of citizenship.

7. The completeness of the supreme power (executive, legislative and judicial) of the Ukrainian State is in the hands of the Head of State, who bears personal responsibility to the Nation with his own blood and property.

8. The Head of State exercises his power through the State Government responsible to him, at the head of which he stands personally.

9. The Head of State convenes and dissolves the State Council and the Higher Economic Council.

10. The Head of State is the Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces of Ukraine.

11. The legislative institution in the State of Ukraine is the State Council, which is created on the basis of equal representation of all socially beneficial strata of the Nation.

12. Deputies of the State Council may not buy or lease state property, engage in entrepreneurial activities, own shares and bank accounts, as well as private property outside Ukraine.

13. The State Council consists of professional commissions, which are its working bodies and consist of deputies of the relevant profession. Only professional abilities give the right to law-making activity in the relevant field. The Head of State and Government ministers also have the right of legislative initiative.

14. Control over the state financial economy is carried out by the State Control led by the Chief State Controller, who submits to the State Council an annual report on all state revenues and expenditures, state funds and the general state of the State's finances.

15. The economic policy of the State is carried out through the sectoral Economic Councils and the Higher Economic Council, as professional and state institutions that directly reflect the economic needs and interests of both individual working classes of the population and the Nation as a whole.

16. At the same time, the strict centralization of state administration implies a wide autonomy of local communities in the economic and public spheres.

Economy:

1. The organization opposes the signing of international agreements and the accession of Ukraine to any supranational economic and financial structures that oblige Ukraine to cede part of its state sovereignty.

2. Dismantling the economic system of capitalism, as such, which ensures the plundering of the working classes of the population by groups of economic and political parasites.

3. Liquidation of all institutions and forms of political democracy, as a political system serving the economic system of capitalism.

4. Deprivation of the ground for the restoration of the system of speculative capital through the introduction of non-inflationary money, the prohibition of interest and land trade.

5. Nationalization of all strategic sectors of the economy.

6. Creation of autonomous production cycles in industries that are vitally necessary for national security, independence and self-sufficiency of the Ukrainian state.

7. Prohibition of non-state monopoly.

8. Support of small and medium-sized enterprises, protection by all possible means of the national producer of goods.

9. Return, including by force, of capital exported from Ukraine.

Agriculture:

1. Agriculture is of primary importance for the Ukrainian Nation, which is not limited to economic expediency, but is primarily an important element of ethnic health and social stability.

2. The right of ownership of land in the Ukrainian State belongs exclusively to the Ukrainian peasant who works on the land.

3. A Ukrainian peasant receives land from the State for lifelong and hereditary use.

4. Only the Ukrainian State has the right to expropriate land into state funds for actions unworthy of a Ukrainian peasant (improper management of the economy, non-compliance with environmental safety standards, serious criminal offenses).

5. The main forms of management are peasant cooperatives and one-person (farm) labor peasant farms.

6. Understanding the importance of the village as the foundation of the Nation, the Ukrainian State undertakes the financial support of lending to the village, as well as the implementation of fixed non-speculative prices for agricultural products.

7. In order to implement the latest technologies in the countryside, the Ukrainian State undertakes the financing of scientific and technical agricultural projects, as well as the creation of agricultural academies and courses where peasants will be able to improve their professional level for free.

8. The state supports its own producer with a strict protectionist policy in all branches of agriculture.

Social policy:

1. The Ukrainian State considers work as an ethical and social duty to the Nation, and citizens as creators of spiritual and material values.

2. The ownership of all material assets belongs to the Nation and its working classes.

3. All types of physical and intellectual work have social value and are worthy of respect when they serve the interests of the Nation. With this in mind, the Nationocracy implements the principles of justice and parity in the payment of physical and intellectual work.

4. Any socially useful work is evaluated according to its quality, and not according to the so-called "prestige". Conscientious performance of one's duties guarantees a dignified and financially secure life for a person and his family.

5. The state assumes responsibility for the development and implementation of national programs to improve the material well-being of the population regardless of social status (public car, public house, etc.).

6. Creation of social cities - ideal cities in ecological, social and economic terms, instead of the current settlements polluted by industrial emissions and unsuitable for a healthy life.

7. Creation of health care complexes, parks, sports facilities, recreation areas. The broadest state program of active recreation, a healthy lifestyle, which will cover every Ukrainian person.

8. The creation of Ukrainian territorial and professional mutual aid organizations, which will contribute to the creation of a new type of interpersonal relations and the education of a responsible citizen.

Foreign Policy:

1. The organization advocates the great-power status of Ukraine, and therefore against the entry of the Ukrainian State into any blocs and supranational structures, except for those initiated by Ukraine and in which it plays a leading role.

2. In foreign policy, Ukraine exclusively defends national interests, relying on a powerful army and navy, economic and cultural dominance.

3. The initial priorities of Great Ukraine will be the creation under the auspices of Kyiv of the Central European Confederation (a block of countries located in the geopolitical triangle Baltic – Balkans – Caucasus), which will ensure geopolitical dominance on the Eurasian continent by establishing control over all important transport and transport and energy arteries .

4. The next step will be to join the Central European Confederation of Western European countries, previously freed from the dictates of demo-liberalism and financial capital.

5. Inclusion in the European Confederation of the Russian state organized on a national basis. What will allow to preserve the Ukrainian-European dominance in the spaces of Eurasia.

6. In the Middle East, Greater Ukraine relies on cooperation with the anti-Zionist state of Iran, which is culturally and partly racially related to European civilization and disposes of the resources necessary for Ukrainian industry.

7. The ultimate goal of Ukrainian foreign policy is world domination.

Military doctrine:

1. The most reliable ally of Great Ukraine is a strong army and navy. The Ukrainian Army should become the most powerful armed force on the planet.

2. Return of nuclear weapons.

3. Creation of a professional army - the National Guard, which will consist of 150,000 - 200,000 soldiers and will be the backbone of the Ukrainian Army.

4. Creation of the All-Ukrainian Defense Army based on general military training and the principle of territorial military units.

5. Modernization of the Ukrainian Army according to the latest technology, which will take place on the basis of domestic military industry and advanced science.

6. Creation of aerospace forces, which will include satellite groups and squadrons of Lozyno-Lozynsky space bombers and fighters.

7. Creation of the most powerful fleet of the Black Sea and the Eastern Mediterranean, as well as the aircraft carrier squadron of the Open Ocean for operational actions in any part of the world. Creation of Ukrainian military bases in allied states.

8. To achieve military autarky (self-sufficiency), create branches of the military industry that are absent in Ukraine: aircraft construction (fighters), production of military helicopters and small arms.

9. Defender of the Motherland - Ukrainian soldier will have the highest status in the State, which will be achieved through propaganda of the exceptional role of the army for the Nation, the highest salary, great social benefits (housing, training, car, etc.).

10. Preparation for army service will begin from childhood through a network of youth paramilitary organizations, which will be provided with camps and equipment at the expense of the State and will cover all Ukrainian youth.

Information policy:

1. Prohibition of commercial and private mass media as centers of dissemination of biased, biased and manipulative information.

2. The information society, which provides a flood of information (without a real possibility to understand it) with the aim of manipulating a person, is subject to being replaced by a society of Knowledge.

3. The state undertakes to provide its citizens with a full range of real knowledge and to prevent the spread of misinformation and the use of manipulative technologies.

4. Mass media and the journalistic corps retain the full range of purely professional rights - to freely convey objective information to society. At the same time, mass media are getting rid of the unnatural privilege of forming the so-called "public opinion", which is a means of the most extensive manipulation of public consciousness, and in the conditions of political democracy serves the parasitic purposes of the oligarchic clans of financial speculators - the current owners of mass media.

5. The Ukrainian State defends the independence of its own information space by all means. Dissemination of information on Ukrainian territory through foreign mass media is prohibited.

Language policy:

1. Carrying out real, not formal Ukrainianization. Introducing not only the Ukrainian language into all spheres of life, but primarily the Ukrainian content in education, science, culture, art, literature, mass media.

2. Monetary compensation to the Ukrainian population in the process of mastering the Ukrainian language. Creation of mandatory Ukrainian language courses for all population groups at the place of work, at the expense of working hours and with mandatory reimbursement from the state.

Education and science:

1. The purpose of upbringing and education in the Ukrainian State is to create a social type of person capable of an active creative life.

2. In the field of education, dogmatism, narrow specialization and imbalance between intellectual and physical development are not allowed. A healthy society needs a harmonious and comprehensively developed personality, capable of adequately perceiving reality and taking responsibility for one's own actions.

3. Commercial education is subject to a complete ban. The state undertakes the duty to provide free and high-quality education at all levels, as well as encourages the intellectual development of every Ukrainian by all means.

4. Full freedom of scientific research is introduced in Ukraine, as well as state support for their application in the practical sphere.

5. The state provides large-scale support to fundamental scientific research and the development of the latest

technologies, which should ensure the well-being of Ukrainian citizens and Ukraine's leading position in the modern world.

6. Creation of Ukrainian scientific cities, which will provide decent living and working conditions for the Ukrainian intellectual elite, as well as concentrate the intellectual potential of the Nation.

Justice:

1. Carrying out judicial reform with the transfer of responsibility for making court decisions directly to judges, which will ensure that court decisions are made in accordance with the spirit and not the letter of the law.

2. Restoration of the death penalty for particularly serious crimes as a means of improving society.

3. Strengthening punishments for crimes against the Nation and the State.

Health of the Nation:

1. Implementation of a system of penalties for harming the health of Ukrainian citizens and polluting the environment.

2. State assistance in the implementation of environmentally friendly technologies.

3. Prohibition of importing any harmful substances and transgenic products into the territory of Ukraine.

4. Implementation of real free medicine, through proper financing of health care facilities and certain categories of the population (elderly people, residents of Chernobyl, etc.).

5. Prevention of diseases through widespread propaganda and state support for a healthy lifestyle of citizens.

6. The introduction of severe punishments, including the death penalty, for the trade in narcotic substances and the deliberate spread of venereal diseases and AIDS.

Youth policy:

1. For Greater Ukraine, youth is the greatest value - the basis and support of the Nation.

2. The Ukrainian Nation directs all its efforts to the formation of an active, creative, all-round intellectually, spiritually and physically developed young Ukrainian citizen.

3. The means of forming such a person will be powerful youth organizations that should cover all spheres of social life.

4. The state undertakes to finance such organizations considering that such expenses are the best investments for the future.

5. Implementation of various contests, tests, competitions to identify future representatives of the Ukrainian elite and natural leaders among young people.

6. Ensuring the greatest promotion of young personnel in the political, scientific, military, and economic spheres in order to ensure the dynamics of the country's development and prevent possible stagnation.

Demographic policy:

1. The most important task of the Nation is the preservation of its own biological life.

2. In view of this, a radical increase in the birth rate among Ukrainians should become National Program No. 1.

3. Today, Ukrainians as a nation are dying out at the fastest rate in Europe.

4. The national program to increase the birth rate is designed not only to stop extinction, but also to cause numerical growth, and at the same time, the strength of the Ukrainian Nation, to give every Ukrainian family the opportunity to have 3-5 children.

5. The program will include unprecedented social guarantees: "youth housing", "youth lending" programs, financial and material assistance from the State, development of a wide network of "Mother and Child Health" medical and recreational (sports and recreation) institutions.

6. The policy of the Ukrainian State in the demographic sphere envisages the transformation of public opinion

regarding the family and the child from a liberal one ("every next child is a burden on the family") to a national one ("every next child is a burden on the family"). The largest families will be the most socially and economically protected in Greater Ukraine.

7. The state, by all possible means, promotes traditional family values, the sanctity of marriage and motherhood, and also prevents the spread of immorality, feminism, sexual perversions, and liberalism in society.

8. Abortions are completely prohibited, except for cases caused by purely medical circumstances.

Culture and art:

1. The state assumes responsibility for the maximum elevation of the cultural level of its own citizens.

2. The relevant state institutions are entrusted with the duty of familiarizing the maximum number of citizens with the masterpieces of Ukrainian and world culture.

3. The state fosters among its citizens an idealistic worldview, devotion to spiritual maxims, the cult of heroism, self-sacrifice and nobility.

4. The state opposes all decadent and decaying artistic currents, as well as materialistic worldview and egoism.

Religion:

1. Promotion of traditional, traditionalist and nationalist Ukrainian religious currents.

2. Creation of a single Ukrainian Apostolic Christian Church with its center in Kyiv.

3. Prohibition of the activities of religious cults and sects based on anti-national, anti-state or satanic principles and whose centers are located outside Ukraine.

NATIONAL LAW

In the conditions when the modern state not only does not live up to national expectations, but also contributes to the gradual and comprehensive decline, degradation and extinction of the nation, and demo-liberal propaganda elevates the rights of individual individuals above the national ones, splits the single concept of the nation, when national culture and traditions are dying, giving way to global anti-culture and anti-social pseudo-morality, the single racial type of the Nation and the linguistic environment are dissolved by an alien racial and foreign-language element, in order to create the proper conditions for stabilization and further development, the establishment of the Right of the Nation is necessary. Any methods from public to underground, from local to global scale, from parliamentary to armed forces are acceptable for establishing the Law of the Nation. Delay in establishing the Law of the Nation can lead to irreparable consequences. The assertion of the Law of the Nation justifies any appropriate and sufficient violence and cruelty. We, Ukrainian Nationalists, fighting liberal-egoistic individualism and Asian herd collectivism, put forward as the highest world value and ideal:

LAW N ATION

1. **The nation as** a natural community, the largest living spiritual and biological organism, having a common origin, racial type, mentality, language, territory of residence, culture has an unconditional right to life, preservation of its racial and spiritual identity.

2. **A nation has** an unconditional and legal right to resist attempts to deprive it of its own self-sufficiency.

3. **The nation has** the right to conduct both defensive and offensive struggle against forces that seek its destruction or deformation.

4. **The nation has** the right to the mono-ethnicity of its own state, as well as to full state independence and disregard of international norms, if they threaten the existence or normal development of the nation.

5. **The nation as** a closed genetic population has the right to get rid of foreign admixture on its territory by deporting its carriers to the historical territory of their residence.

6. **A nation has** the right to improve its own health through the implementation of racial, eugenic, and environmental legislation.

7. **The nation** has the right to restore its natural spiritual, cultural, and linguistic space through the renationalization of its individual representatives and social and regional population groups that were denationalized as a result of foreign occupation, inclusion in multiethnic state entities, or due to the influence of cosmopolitan culture.

8. **The nation has** the right to establish a socially just order in its own state, as well as to get rid of parasitic layers that try to appropriate the nation's material values through economic and political manipulations.

9. **The right of the Nation prevails** over the partial rights of individuals, family and social groups. Their rights are exercised within the framework and not to the detriment **of the Law of the Nation** .

10. **The Nation has** one inalienable duty – to implement **the Law of the Nation** in full and by all available means .

We give our lives, property and blood for the affirmation of the Right of the Nation .

"Patriot of Ukraine" organizatio

If you want to help the movement and get more translated books you can donate to the address and the QR code below.

*As I said earlier in the preface if you can help the movement it would be very appreciated. We only use **Monero (XMR)** for obvious reasons...**Mainly the TOTAL ANONYMITY provided by this crypto currency for the sender and the receiver**.*

8AgL73N5LLFZ4X3UsHjH9m8LTuB8RdRg6ZthYXaJVaQPPW4WmomKF61RRBcUd6ifNdeewsBdGSEUrd7CivCeUAgzFFs6aWz

Thank you it helps a lot !!!